FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

UPDATED INFORMATIVE DIGEST

There have been no changes in applicable laws or to the effect of the proposed regulation from the laws and effects described in the Notice of Proposed Action.

The Board adopted Rule 1632, Jockey's Riding Fee, at its September 27, 2018 Regular Board Meeting.

LOCAL MANDATE DETERMINATION

The adoption of Rule 1632 does not impose any mandate on local agencies or school districts.

SUMMARY AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE PERIOD OF AUGUST 10, 2018 TO SEPTEMBER 24, 2018.

No comments were received during the original notice period of August 10, 2018 to September 24, 2018.

SUMMARY AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS RECEIVED AT THE SEPTEMBER 27, 2018 REGULATORY HEARING.

No comments were received during the September 27, 2018 regulatory hearing.

The adoption of Rule 1632 has no significant adverse economic impact on small business.

The adoption of Rule 1632 has no significant adverse economic impact on business.

ALTERNATIVE DETERMINATION

The Board has determined that no alternative would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation was proposed, would be as effective as and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed regulation, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law. The proposed amendment to Rule 1632 will amend subsection 1632(b), which provides the jockey riding fees for losing mounts. The losing mount jockey riding fees under subsection 1632(b) have been increased consistent with California's 2019 minimum wage increase, which is 9.09 percent. The proposed amendment also deletes the dollar amounts for second and third place mounts in the "Less than $10,000" category. The Board proposed to remove the second and third place mount fees under subsection 1632(b), as subsections 1632(b)(3) through 1632(b)(5) provide direction regarding the amounts to be paid non-winning jockeys, depending on the gross purse level. In addition, the proposed amendment amends subsection 1632(b)(5) for purposes of clarity. The Board invited interested persons to present statements or arguments with respect to alternatives to the proposed regulation at the scheduled hearing or during the written comment period. No alternatives were proposed.

No alternatives that would lessen any adverse economic impact on small business were proposed.