

CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD

1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95825
www.chrb.ca.gov
(916) 263-6000 Fax (916) 263-6042



REGULAR MEETING

of the **California Horse Racing Board (CHRB)** will be held on **Friday, March 21, 2014**, commencing at **10:00 a.m.**, at the **California Exposition and State Fair Turf Club, 1600 Exposition Boulevard, Sacramento, California.** (Attendees enter East Gate from Exposition Blvd. (Parking available in Lot D. Follow signs to the satellite wagering facility). The audio portion only of the CHRB regular meeting will be available online through a link at the CHRB website (www.chrb.ca.gov) under "Webcasts."

The agenda for the regular meeting will consist of the following matters:

AGENDA

Action Items:

1. **Approval of the minutes of February 21, 2014.**
2. **Public Comment:** Communications, reports, requests for future actions of the Board.
Note: Persons addressing the Board under this item will be restricted to **three (3) minutes** for their presentations.
3. Discussion and action by the Board regarding the **allocation of the Northern California 2015 racing calendar and related issues for 2015.**
4. Report from the **Department of Finance, Office of State Audits and Evaluations**, to advise the Board of the operational audit being conducted by the Department of Finance.
5. Discussion by the Board regarding the **schedule of stake races historically run in Southern California.**
6. Discussion by the Board regarding insurance coverage for jockeys in California including the **liability insurance policies provided by California race tracks as well as the California mandated workers compensation program.**

7. Discussion and action by the Board regarding the proposed amendment to **CHRB Rule 1433, Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting**, to: 1) delete subsection 1433(b) which requires racing associations running four weeks or more of continuous thoroughbred racing in a calendar year to install a polymer synthetic type racing surface; 2) revise the application to include the applicant's prior two years of stake schedules; 3) add the takeout rate on the proposed pari-mutuel wagering schedule; 4) collect the name of the racing veterinarian, the hours the racing veterinarian will be onsite during morning workouts, training and during racing hours.
8. Discussion and action by the Board regarding the proposed amendment to **CHRB Rule 1845, Authorized Bleeder Medication**, to require that authorized bleeder medication be administered by independent, third party veterinarians; 2) include a prescription for Furosemide (Lasix) as part of the CHRB Form -194 Authorized Bleeder Medication Request and Prescription.
9. Report from the **Steward's Committee**.
10. **Closed Session:** For the purpose of receiving advice from counsel, considering pending litigation, reaching decisions on administrative licensing and disciplinary hearings, and personnel matters, as authorized by section 11126 of the Government Code.
 - A. The Board may convene a Closed Session to confer with and receive advice from its legal counsel regarding the pending litigation described in the attachment to this agenda captioned "Pending Litigation," as authorized by Government Code section 11126(e).
 - B. The Board may convene a Closed Session to confer with and receive advice from its legal counsel regarding the pending administrative licensing or disciplinary matters described in the attachment to this agenda captioned "Pending Administrative Adjudications," as authorized by Government Code section 11126(e).
 - C. The Board may convene a Closed Session for the purposes of considering personnel matters as authorized by Government Code section 11126, (a).

Additional information regarding this meeting may be obtained from the CHRB Administrative Office, 1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95825; telephone (916) 263-6000; fax (916) 263-6042. This notice is located on the CHRB website at www.chrb.ca.gov. *Information for requesting disability related accommodation for persons with a disability who require aid or services in order to participate in this public meeting, should contact Jacqueline Wagner.

CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD

Chuck Winner, Chairman
Bo Derek, 1st Vice Chairman
Richard Rosenberg, 2nd Vice Chairman
Steve Beneto, Member
Jesse H. Choper, Member
George Krikorian, Member
Madeline Auerbach, Member
Rick Baedeker, Executive Director
Jacqueline Wagner, Assistant Executive Director

CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
1010 HURLEY WAY, SUITE 300
SACRAMENTO, CA 95825
(916) 263-6000
FAX (916) 263-6042



**PENDING LITIGATION
MARCH 2014**

COURT LITIGATION

A. Jeff Mullins vs. CHRB, et al

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case No. 37-2010-00092212
Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, D062226

B. Morteza Atashkar vs. CHRB

Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento, Case No. 34-2008-00024426
Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, C074852

C. Morteza Atashkar vs. CHRB

Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento, Case No. 34-2011-00102154
Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, C069875

D. San Luis Rey Racing, Inc., vs. CHRB, et al

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case No. 37-2011-00096586

CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD

1010 HURLEY WAY, SUITE 300

SACRAMENTO, CA 95825

(916) 263-6000

FAX (916) 263-6042



**PENDING ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATIONS
MARCH 2014**

CASE

A. Proposed Decision

Trainer Guillermo Morales

Case Number: 13LA0274

B. Proposed Decision

Owner Jose Olivas

Case Number: 14LA0026

C. Proposed Decision

Trainer Elmer G. January

Case Number: 13GG0144

and

Owner Saul R. Acosta

Case Number: 13GG0157

PROCEEDINGS of the Regular Meeting of the **California Horse Racing Board** held at the **Santa Anita Park Race Track, Baldwin Terrace Room**, 285 West Huntington Drive, Arcadia, California, on **February 21, 2014**.

Present: Chuck Winner, Chairman
 Bo Derek, 1st Vice-Chairman
 Richard Rosenberg, 2nd Vice-Chairman
 Madeline Auerbach, Member
 Steve Beneto, Member
 Jesse H. Choper, Member
 George Krikorian, Member
 Rick Baedeker, Executive Director
 Jacqueline Wagner, Assistant Executive Director
 Robert Miller, General Counsel

PUBLIC COMMENT

Chairman Winner introduced Rick Baedeker, the new CHRB Executive Director. Mr. Baedeker spoke about the direction of California Horseracing and his positive outlook for the future. John Valenzuela, representing Local 280, stated advance deposit wagering (ADW) was becoming the focus of horse racing; an effort needed to be made to promote the live racing events and limit ADW, as well as minisatellite wagering facilities, which were taking away fans from the track. The tracks kept the labor force working, not ADW or minisatellites. 1st Vice Chairman Derek stated Mr. Valenzuela should send his ideas for improving attendance at the tracks to the Board. Kim Lloyd, of Barrett's Sales and Racing (Barrett's), invited the Board to Barrett's 25th anniversary sale beginning on March 3, 2014. He stated fifteen to eighteen percent of the starters in Southern California come through the Barrett's catalog. Richard Castro, of Local 280, said he was pleased that agenda item nine, the request from Xpressbet, LLC to amend its current advance deposit wagering application to allow for the operation of the new Wagering Tablet at Santa Anita Park and Golden Gate Fields, had been removed from the agenda. He stated he did

not know about the proposal until the notice of meeting and did not want the effect on jobs to be left out of the discussion.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF JANUARY 16, 2014

Chairman Winner asked for approval of the minutes of the Regular Meeting of January 16, 2014. Commissioner Krikorian **motioned** to approve the minutes. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD REGARDING THE DISTRIBUTION OF RACE DAY CHARITY PROCEEDS OF THE LOS ANGELES TURF CLUB II, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$37,403.38 TO 13 BENEFICIARIES.

Frank DeMarco, representing the Los Angeles Turf Club II Inc., stated 100 percent of the race day charity proceeds were going to horse related charities, other than a gift to Arcadia Methodist Hospital Foundation, the first responders to Santa Anita Park. Commissioner Beneto **motioned** to approve the request by the Los Angeles Turf Club II, Inc to distribute \$37,403.38 in race day charity proceeds. 1st Vice-Chairman Derek **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**, Commissioner Auerbach recused herself from the vote as her charity, the California Retirement Management Account, received \$1000 of the charity proceeds.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD REGARDING THE ALLOCATION OF THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA 2015 RACING CALENDAR AND RELATED ISSUES FOR 2015.

This item was removed from the agenda.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD TO AUGMENT THE FUNDING OF THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROGRAM FOR THOROUGHBRED RACING ASSOCIATIONS AND FAIRS.

Robert Miller, CHRB General Counsel, stated the law that allowed for distributions augmenting workers' compensation had been allowed to sunset in 2013. He said before the Board discussed the item it needed to vote to include discussion of all other breeds as they required the same augmentation to funding. Commissioner Auerbach **motioned** to allow discussion of augmenting distributions of all breeds within this item. Commissioner Beneto **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**. Commissioner Auerbach **motioned** to augment the funding of the Workers' Compensation program for thoroughbreds by authorizing a 0.5 percent deduction from exotic wagering pools of thoroughbred races and to do so retroactively to January 1, 2014. The funding sources were to be those organizations and entities stated in Business and Professions Code section 19605.75, now repealed. 1st Vice-Chairman Derek **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**. Commissioner Choper **motioned** to augment the funding of the Workers' Compensation program for quarter horses by authorizing the Quarter Horse Racing Association to deduct 0.5 percent from exotic wagering pools of quarter horse races and to do so retroactively to January 1, 2014. The funding sources were to be those organizations and entities stated in Business and Professions Code section 19605.76, now repealed. Commissioner Beneto **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**. Commissioner Krikorian **motioned** to augment the funding of the Workers' Compensation program for harness horses by authorizing a harness association to deduct 0.5 percent from conventional wagering pools of harness races and to do so retroactively to January 1, 2014. The funding sources were to be those organizations and entities stated in Business and Professions Code section 19605.77, now repealed. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**. Commissioner

Beneto **motioned** to, in addition to the deduction heretofore authorized for thoroughbreds, augment the funding of the Workers' Compensation program of any breed by authorizing a fair to deduct from exotic wagering pools of any breed, except for races solely for thoroughbreds, and to so retroactively to January 1, 2014. The funding sources were to be those organizations and entities stated in Business and Professions Code section 19605.78, now repealed. Commissioner Choper **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD REGARDING THE REQUEST FROM THE THOROUGHbred OWNERS OF CALIFORNIA, THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA THOROUGHbred RACING ASSOCIATIONS AND THE CALIFORNIA THOROUGHbred BREEDERS ASSOCIATION FOR APPROVAL OF THE MODIFICATION OF ADVANCE DEPOSIT WAGERING (ADW) MARKET ACCESS FEE DISTRIBUTIONS AS PERMITTED UNDER BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 19604(F)(5)(E) FOR ADW WAGERING HOSTED BY THOROUGHbred RACING ASSOCIATIONS AND RACING FAIRS CONDUCTING RACING IN THE CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN ZONES FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING MARCH 1, 2014 THROUGH FEBRUARY 28, 2015.

Joe Morris, of the Thoroughbred Owners of California (TOC), stated the Stabling and Vanning Fund (SVF) already had a \$2.2 million debt going into 2014, with an additional \$1.7 million forecasted deficit for 2014 due to the added expense of the Hollywood park closure. He said the requested distribution from advance deposit wagering would generate about \$1.7 million to counter the 2014 deficit, and that a more permanent solution was currently being negotiated. Executive Director Baedeker stated the requested distribution was already taken from ADW, and would be redirected from commissions and purses to the SVF. Chairman Winner asked if the industry approved of the redirection. Mr. Morris said the industry was 100 percent behind it. Commissioner Beneto asked if there was any proposed solution for the existing \$2.2 million debt. Mr. Morris stated the Board would be kept up to date, as ideas for how to deal with the

existing debt were developed. Commissioner Krikorian asked if the money saved by not stabling at Fairplex, if it ended up not being needed, would go towards the debt. Mr. Morris said it would. Chairman Winner asked how the need for stabling at Fairplex was being evaluated. Mr. Morris said the process for counting horses was being created using InCompass to communicate the numbers to the interested parties; as of January 31, 2014, there were 184 horses stabled at Fairplex, with a forecasted 200 additional two year olds to be added in February. Commissioner Krikorian asked what would happen if there were over 250 horses at Fairplex but enough empty stalls at Santa Anita Park (Santa Anita) and San Luis Rey Downs (SLRD) to accommodate them. Mr. Morris stated the horse count was a delicate issue until the numbers stopped growing. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg asked who was responsible to modify InCompass to track the horse counts. Mr. Morris stated Santa Anita was currently racing and was responsible to make that happen. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg asked what the current horse count was at SLRD. Mr. Morris said it was 247 on January 31, 2014, but was now over 300. Commissioner Beneto asked how many horses were at Los Alamitos. Mr. Morris said Los Alamitos was almost full with 462 horses. John Bucalo, of Barona Casino, asked if the requested distribution would have any effect on commissions to satellite facilities. Executive Director Baedeker stated the satellite percentage of handle was prescribed by statute and was not affected by the requested distribution. Commissioner Choper **motioned** to approve the request to modify the ADW market access fee distributions for ADW hosted by thoroughbred racing associations and racing fairs conducting racing in the Central and Southern zones, allocating .5 percent to the SVF, for the period commencing March 1, 2014 through February 28, 2015. Commissioner Beneto **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD ON THE APPLICATION FOR LICENSE TO CONDUCT A HORSE RACING MEETING OF THE LOS ANGELES TURF CLUB (T) AT SANTA ANITA PARK RACE TRACK, COMMENCING APRIL 25, 2014 THROUGH JUNE 29, 2014, INCLUSIVE.

George Haines, of the Los Angeles Turf Club (LATC), stated the LATC race meet application was for the period of time that was traditionally the second half of Santa Anita Park Race Track's (Santa Anita) winter-spring race meet, as well as added race dates acquired from Hollywood Park, and all items required for the application had been provided. Chairman Winner asked about LATC's marketing plan. Nate Newby, of LATC, stated the plan was essentially the same as the one presented for the beginning of the winter meeting, including television advertising in a 15 mile radius of Santa Anita, with a focus on frequency, not area; a geo-targeted digital campaign; and a continued partnership with CBS Outdoor. He said LATC was excited about opening day, sponsored by Anheuser Busch, Maker's Mark, and Clear Channel; the Gold Cup Day, which was new for 2014; the planned Triple Crown events; and the Memorial Day weekend. Mr. Newby said handicapping contests were a growing part of horseracing, and LATC had four planned contests that would be giving out close to \$400,000 in prize money. 1st Vice-Chairman Derek asked how the 2014 marketing budget compared with 2013. Mr. Newby said the total budget for all three 2014 LATC meets was about \$6 million, up 50 percent from 2013. 1st Vice-Chairman Derek asked if the television advertising 15 mile radius included Los Angeles. Mr. Newby stated some of it. He said Los Angeles was the second most expensive media market in the country and with any increase in area, the advertisement frequency dropped quickly. Mr. Newby stated the marketing area was increased for special days. Chairman Winner asked if the targeting was based on demographics. Mr. Newby stated yes, but there were many other factors. Chairman Winner asked if the trade deals for marketing, like the partnership with CBS Outdoor, were included in the \$6 million proposed budget. Mr. Newby stated they were not.

Commissioner Beneto asked what the entry and parking fees were. Mr. Newby stated parking was \$4.00 and entrance fees were \$5.00 general, and \$10.00 clubhouse; however, if the customer signed up for a free Thoroughbred's Card, the entrance fees were \$3.00 general, and \$5.00 clubhouse. Commissioner Beneto asked how popular the Turf Terrace was. Mr. Newby said Turf Terrace sold out its 370 person capacity every weekend. Commissioner Auerbach said the Triple Crown dates were new for LATC and she would like to see more money spent on advertising them. Mr. Newby said LATC was actively looking for partnerships to promote the Triple Crown days as they were the horseracing days the general public paid the most attention to. Chairman Winner stated he would also like to see LATC put more money into outreach for new customers. He said all of the money spent on improving the racing experience was only worth it if people came to see the improvements. Tom Ludt, of LATC stated the 2014 marketing plan should not be compared to the 2013 plan dollar to dollar as the direction for 2014 was more focused and more efficiently planned, including an emphasis on new customers for special events like the Triple Crown and Big Cap Day. Chairman Winner said he agreed LATC was marketing the correct way but was still concerned that a \$6 million dollar budget was too small for the size of LATC's market. Mr. Ludt stated as the on-track handle increased, more of that money would be put back into marketing. Chairman Winner asked if Big Cap Day was advertised on Fox Sports. Mr. Ludt said that it was. Commissioner Auerbach stated California could not afford to lose any of its stakes races and she saw that some were missing from the LATC schedule. Mr. Ludt stated other than two two-year-old stakes races, the schedule was almost identical to Hollywood Park's 2013 schedule for the same time frame. He said the Thoroughbred Owners of California (TOC) unanimously agreed to the proposed schedule. She said some of these races were not run in 2013 and if they were not run in 2014, the second year

in a row, they would be lost. Mr. Ludt said LATC was not running two weeks in July that Hollywood Park traditionally ran, which gave LATC a conflict to match Hollywood Parks stakes schedule. He said the Graded Stakes Committee had also increased the minimum purse, increasing the purses for LATC's inherited stakes schedule. Mr. Ludt stated the required purse increase gave LATC the choice of either dropping a race or two or downgrading races which also had the effect of lowering the quality of horses coming into California. 1st Vice-Chairman Derek asked Commissioner Auerbach if the race meet was approved, as it stood, would stakes races be lost. Commissioner Auerbach stated without a clear two year schedule showing all of the stakes races it was hard to tell. Mr. Ludt stated the parties involved had worked hard together to make the existing schedule for 2014; they could work out the shortcomings for 2015. Commissioner Auerbach stated 2015 would be too late for races already dropped in 2013 and then dropped again in 2014. She said the stakes race list given to the Board stated the Hollywood Gold Cup ran on turf, which was incorrect, and she worried about the accuracy of the rest of the list. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg asked if the TOC was satisfied with the stakes race schedule as it was. Joe Morris, of the TOC, stated LATC had provided the schedule late, not giving the TOC time to fully review its impact. He said it was partially the TOC's fault over the three stakes races dropped by Hollywood Park in 2013, the Inglewood, the Los Barrera and the Beverly Hills, not being picked up by LATC in 2014. He agreed that a yearlong schedule for all stakes races would be helpful to prevent a similar issue from happening again. Chairman Winner asked how many of the dropped races would be lost if not run in 2014. Mr. Morris said the three graded stakes races not run by Hollywood Park in 2013 were the only ones; the Inglewood being of the most concern. Chairman Winner said all three were of concern. Commissioner Auerbach asked if the Board had any way to ensure that those races were run. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg said the

application could be approved subject to the stakes schedule including the three missing races. Mr. Ludt said the schedule was already late and needed to be promoted. Commissioner Auerbach said it was late because LATC was late bringing it to the table; it wasn't accurate to blame the Board for holding it up. Commissioner Beneto asked if the stakes' purses were all going up, then why was his last stakes win down \$25,000 from the previous year. Mr. Ludt said it was an overnight stakes race not a graded stakes race. Chairman Winner asked if the overnight stakes purses had gone down. Mr. Morris stated the overnights with Hollywood Park had a base of \$70,000, and LATC raised it to \$75,000. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg stated dropping graded stakes races was necessary to raise the purse levels on the remaining races, and was a business decision outside the scope of the Board. He asked Commissioner Auerbach if she thought the money should come out of overnight stakes races to pay for the graded stakes races. Commissioner Auerbach said the stakes races, once lost, would be impossible to get back; she was not telling them how to do it but felt it was her job to protect those races for California. Chairman Winner asked if the TOC wanted the three races in danger of being lost, to be run. Mr. Morris stated that they would be run or there would be agreement among all parties that they need to be dropped. Chairman Winner asked if Commissioner Auerbach would be satisfied with approving the license contingent upon either including the three races in danger of being lost, or achieving agreement that there was no other choice but to let them go. Mr. Ludt stated 2014 was difficult as he was new and the new allocated race dates required more cooperation between the associations than was previously required. Commissioner Choper asked if the Place All wager was dropped. Mr. Ludt said that it was dropped to create a different menu of wagering as part of making the on-track experience all new. He said most of the late wagers were growing in popularity but the Place All wager remained at a low volume. Chairman Winner said he liked

that Mr. Ludt repeatedly stated the objective was to get people into the track, but making potential customers aware of Santa Anita's improvements required effective marketing. Mr. Ludt stated to keep his job he needed to bring in new customers. Chairman Winner asked what happened with the Rolling Double and the reduced takeout. Mr. Ludt stated LATC was concerned the handle had not gone up; anytime the takeout was reduced without an increase in handle, the purses went down. He said it was early in the experiment and LATC was not overly committed to it either way. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg asked for clarification on people changing their wagering types. Mr. Ludt stated it was difficult to accurately compare the wagers from 2013 to previous years due to the changes made in wager types. Some comparisons show a reduction in wagering; however, there was an increase in handle, which was the most important comparison. Commissioner Beneto asked what percentage of handle the increase represented. Mr. Ludt stated the increase was about 4 percent, but after only two months the amount was difficult to isolate. Alan Balch, of the California Thoroughbred Trainers (CTT), stated Santa Anita was closing its training on July 10, 2014 until the end of August, during which time Fairplex would also be closed, and he was concerned there would not be enough stabling during this time. He said the unknowns, such as the number of two-year-olds, and the demand for imported horses at Del Mar, made it difficult to know if there would be an issue; however, the Board should be warned that there could be a problem. Commissioner Choper asked how soon the industry would know if there was going to be a problem with stabling. Mr. Balch said he did not know. Commissioner Auerbach asked how the need to stable 3400 horses compared to the stabling needs in previous years. Mr. Balch stated he needed to look at the numbers. Commissioner Choper stated CTT needed to gather more data before the next Stabling and Vanning meeting to defend its argument for the need to increase stabling capacities, because

everyone agreed that a shortage would be bad for the industry. Chairman Winner said horses that actually raced should take the available stall space. He asked why Santa Anita would close in July. Mr. Ludt said Santa Anita was now racing eight months a year and needed the six weeks off for maintenance and improvements. Mr. Balch stated there were plans to increase capacity at San Luis Rey Downs (SLRD) by 300 horses and at Los Alamitos by 200 horses, to offset the closure at Fairplex; however, if the plans were not completed there would once again be a shortage. Chairman Winner asked if SLRD would be ready to take the extra 300 when Fairplex closed its auxiliary stabling. Mr. Haines said it would most likely not be ready in time. Mr. Morris stated the numbers were already negotiated and agreed upon; if there was more need for stabling, the stabling would be found. Commissioner Choper **motioned** to approve the LATC application for license to conduct a horse racing meeting commencing April 25, 2014 through June 29, 2014, inclusive, contingent on clarification of the stakes schedule. Commissioner Auerbach **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**. Executive Director Baedeker stated staff would request from future applicants a listing of anything different from the previous year, in an attempt to prevent missing anything like changes in wagers or race meet schedules.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD ON THE APPLICATION FOR LICENSE TO CONDUCT ADVANCE DEPOSIT WAGERING (ADW) OF GAME PLAY NETWORK, INC. FOR A CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL WAGERING HUB, FOR A PERIOD OF UP TO TWO YEARS.

Greg Avioli, of Game Play Network (GPN), stated the National Thoroughbred Racing Association had spent \$400 million since the late 1990's in its attempt to bring new customers to horseracing. He said GPN had a completely different approach, which was focused on the 110 million Americans over 18 who want to play games on their phones. David Marshal, of GPN,

stated his experience with YouBet.com had taught him that traditional marketing was fairly ineffective at getting new customers into horseracing. He said GPN had a traditional advance deposit wagering (ADW) platform but with the added ability to play games through the same account. Commissioner Choper asked if there was any data on the ages of the customers playing the GPN platform. Mr. Marshall stated the platform was in beta testing. Commissioner Auerbach asked what ensured any money from the wagers would go to California racing. Mr. Marshall stated that GPN was connected to AmTote. Mr. Avioli said all wagers by California residents and wagers on California races would bring money back to California racing, just like with any other ADW provider. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg stated his understanding was that the customer did not make the wager; the wagers were chosen by the game interface. Chairman Winner stated the games currently played charged real money but only returned virtual prizes, whereas the GPN platform had real money returns. Mr. Marshall stated winning real money was what would bring them back to GPN. Commissioner Choper asked if there would be accompanying promotion for the live race track. Mr. Marshall stated the platform, having both the games and the traditional ADW, allows the customer to move from the game to a direct wager on a horse race. 1st Vice-Chairman Derek asked if GPN was designing its own games. Mr. Marshall stated it had created some games that were being beta tested. Commissioner Beneto asked if wagers could be made on GPN on races in other states. Mr. Avioli stated yes, just like any other ADW provider. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg asked if the bets made automatically by the game interface were similar to the Quick Pick. Mr. Avioli stated it was similar, as was the authorization from the customer to make the bet. Commissioner Beneto asked what percentage of the handle was kept by GPN. Mr. Avioli stated six and a half percent was kept by GPN, but some of it was shared with the game developers. Chairman Winner asked

if GPN would link with games that already existed. Mr. Marshall said it would be up to the game publisher; however, GPN planned to have all the best games in the world work with its platform. Joe Morris, representing the Thoroughbred Owners of California (TOC), stated GPN would get six and a half percent on any wagers made using the game interface, but only four percent using traditional ADW. He said when ADW started in California ADW providers received six percent commission, but after having recouped some of the initial investment the providers reduced their cut to five percent. Commissioner Choper asked if GPN understood wagering law. Mr. Avioli stated they had excellent legal representation. 1st Vice-Chairman Derek stated ADW providers did not yet participate in an equivalent to the California race day charities that gave to horse related groups like the California Retirement Management Account (CARMA). She said GPN could be the first. Mr. Avioli stated GPN was in the process of determining where to direct its corporate social responsibility. He said CARMA would be a good choice. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg asked about the outstanding items. Assistant Executive Director Jacqueline Wagner stated the outstanding items included the licensing of GPN management, racing association agreements, horsemen's approval of the ADW agreement, and proof that notice of the hub agreement had been provided to the associations. Mr. Avioli stated GPN was negotiating agreements with all of the associations and the California Authority of Racing Fairs (CARF), and had an agreement, in principal, with Del Mar. He said the TOC agreement was a formality as TOC provided the hub agreement. And the notices to the association were a formality that would be completed soon. Josh Rubenstein, of Del Mar, stated there were negotiations with GPN which should come to an agreement in time for the Del Mar race meet application. Chris Korby, representing CARF, stated CARF was interested, and in discussions with GPN. Brad McKenzie, of Los Alamitos, stated Los Alamitos supported the

concept presented by GPN. Chairman Winner asked if there was a plan to include harness racing. Mr. Avioli stated it would pursue a hub agreement with Cal Expo after receiving a license. Commissioner Krikorian asked if GPN was asking for any exclusivity on the service they would provide. Mr. Avioli stated it was not. Commissioner Krikorian asked if the Board could revoke the license if there were consumer complaints or other issues with the new format. Robert Miller, CHRB General Counsel, stated the Board could revoke the license, with an interim suspension process, and it could be done quickly. 2nd Vice-Chairman Rosenberg **motioned** to approve application for license to conduct ADW of GPN for a California multi-jurisdictional wagering hub, for a period of two years. 1st Vice-Chairman Derek **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD REGARDING THE REQUEST FROM XPRESSBET, LLC (XPRESSBET) TO AMEND ITS CURRENT ADVANCE DEPOSIT WAGERING (ADW) APPLICATION TO ALLOW FOR THE OPERATION OF THE NEW WAGERING TABLET AT SANTA ANITA PARK AND GOLDEN GATE FIELDS RACE TRACKS.

This item was removed from the agenda.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD REGARDING THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO CHRB RULE 1858, TEST SAMPLE REQUIRED, TO ELIMINATE THE MAXIMUM RESTRICTION ON SAMPLES THAT MAY BE TAKEN, AND TO ADD HORSES REGISTERED TO RACE AT AN INCLOSURE, NOMINATED TO RACE, AND PRE-ENTERED IN A RACE TO THOSE HORSES SUBJECT TO TESTING.

Dr. Rick Arthur, CHRB Equine Medical Director, stated the proposed changes to Rule 1858, Test Sample Required, were required to protect the integrity of California horse racing. He said the first provision would eliminate the upper limit to the number of samples that could be taken; more samples than were allowed were already required for the Breeders' Cup. Dr. Arthur stated

there was no plan to increase the number of samples already taken so there was no cost associated with the limit change. He said the second provision would allow off-track testing of horses nominated or pre-entered into a race, such as the Breeders' Cup or Pacific Classic. 1st Vice-Chairman Derek **motioned** to direct staff to initiate a 45-day public comment period regarding the proposed amendment to CHRB Rule 1858, Test Sample Required, to eliminate the maximum restriction on samples that may be taken, and to add horses registered to race at an inclosure, nominated to race, and pre-entered in a race to those horses subject to testing. Commissioner Auerbach **seconded** the motion, which was **unanimously carried**.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD REGARDING BACKSIDE SECURITY, FIRE PREVENTION AND EMERGENCY EVACUATION PLANS.

Chairman Winner stated this item was for discussion only. He said the Thoroughbred Owners of California had offered a significant amount of money to help solve the backstretch issue. 1st Vice-Chairman Derek stated the industry was very supportive of improving backside security. She said an advisory committee was being formed that would work further on the backstretch safety issue. Commissioner Krikorian said there was a meeting with Santa Anita but they would need to meet again as not much progress had been made on the Board's concerns. Commissioner Auerbach said there was a meeting with the Arcadia Fire Department (AFD). She said the AFD had very specific suggestions for fire preparedness, and they agreed to talk to the backstretch personnel on what to do in case of a fire. Chairman Winner stated there was obvious progress on developing a plan in case of emergency, but there was little progress in determining what Santa Anita's plan was for improving the backstretch, which would include improvements in fire safety.

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 1:45 P.M.

A full and complete transcript of the aforesaid proceedings are on file at the office of the California Horse Racing Board, 1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300, Sacramento, California, and therefore made a part hereof.

Chairman

Executive Director

CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD

MARCH 21, 2014
REGULAR BOARD MEETING

There is no board package material for Item 2

STAFF ANALYSIS
DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD
REGARDING THE ALLOCATION OF
THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA
2015 RACING CALENDAR AND
RELATED ISSUES

Regular Board Meeting
March 21, 2014

ISSUE

At its December 12, 2013 Regular Meeting, the Board approved the 2014 Northern California race dates calendar, with a commitment to allocate three weeks of State Fair racing at Cal Expo during its 2015 race meeting. At issue are the allocation of the specific race dates, and the balance of the calendar that will be affected by the allocation.

ANALYSIS

Proposals for the Northern California thoroughbred and fair racing calendars for 2014 and 2015 were received in May and June 2013 from Golden Gate Fields, the California Authority of Racing Fairs (CARF), the Sonoma County Fair, and Cal Expo. The proposed calendars reflected disagreement among the various stakeholders over the allocation of 2014 and 2015 race dates. The Board postponed action on the northern calendars to give stakeholders more time to resolve their issues. When the matter was considered again by the Board in September 2013, continuing disagreement among stakeholders prompted then Chairman Israel to ask Commissioners Beneto and Choper to work with the northern interests in an effort to bring about consensus. This led to a series of Ad Hoc Committee meetings conducted by Commissioners Beneto and Choper with northern stakeholders at Golden Gate Fields.

Commissioners Beneto and Choper met with northern stakeholders at Golden Gate Fields in early October and again in late November 2013. The resulting proposed 2014 and 2015 calendars were presented to the Board for consideration at its December 12, 2013 Regular Meeting.

The Board at its December 2013 Regular Meeting approved the 2014 calendar but elected not to approve the 2015 calendar due to concerns expressed by a majority of the stakeholders in Northern California. However, included in the motion to approve the 2014 calendar was a commitment to allocate three weeks of State Fair racing to Cal Expo on unspecified dates in 2015. The 2015 calendar was referred back to the Ad Hoc Committee.

Commissioners Beneto and Choper met again with northern stakeholders on January 13, 2014. The report from the Ad Hoc Committee and a discussion of the 2015 calendar, scheduled for the January 16th and February 21, 2014 meetings of the Board, was deferred and rescheduled for the March 21, 2014 Regular Meeting of the Board.

The calendars endorsed by the Ad Hoc Committee are included in this meeting package. There is one primary calendar and two optional calendars providing alternatives for the Sonoma County Fair, Humboldt County Fair, and Golden Gate Fields meets in August 2015.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Board hear from the Ad Hoc Committee and interested stakeholders.

June 2015

June 2015						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
7	1	2	3	4	5	6
14	8	9	10	11	12	13
21	15	16	17	18	19	20
28	22	23	24	25	26	27
	29	30				

July 2015						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
5	6	7	1	2	3	4
12	13	14	8	9	10	11
19	20	21	15	16	17	18
26	27	28	22	23	24	25
			29	30	31	

	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	May 31	Jun 1	2	3	4	5	6
May 31 - Jun 6	Golden Gate						
				Simulcast Day			
	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Jun 7 - 13	Golden Gate						
				Simulcast Day			
	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Jun 14 - 20	Golden Gate	Pleasanton					
				Simulcast Day			
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Jun 21 - 27	Pleasanton						
				Simulcast Day			
	28	29	30	Jul 1	2	3	4
Jun 28 - Jul 4	Pleasanton						

July 2015

July 2015

Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
5	6	7	1	2	3	4
12	13	14	8	9	10	11
19	20	21	15	16	17	18
26	27	28	22	23	24	25
			29	30	31	

August 2015

Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
2	3	4	5	6	7	1
9	10	11	12	13	14	8
16	17	18	19	20	21	15
23	24	25	26	27	28	22
30	31					29

	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	Jun 28	29	30	Jul 1	2	3	4
Jun 28 - Jul 4				Pleasanton			Independence Day - H
				Simulcast Day			
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Jul 5 - 11	Pleasanton			Simulcast Day	Cal Expo		
	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Jul 12 - 18				Cal Expo			
				Simulcast Day			
	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Jul 19 - 25				Cal Expo			
				Simulcast Day			
	26	27	28	29	30	31	Aug 1
Jul 26 - Aug 1	Cal Expo			Simulcast Day	Santa Rosa		

August 2015

August 2015						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

September 2015						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
7/26 - 31	Jul 26	27	28	29	30	31	Aug 1
							Santa Rosa
8/2 - 7	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Santa Rosa						
8/9 - 14				Simulcast Day			
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
8/16 - 21				Simulcast Day			
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
8/23 - 28	Santa Rosa				Ferndale (Host)	Golden Gate	
				Simulcast Day			
8/30 - 9/4	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
	Golden Gate						
				Simulcast Day			
	30	31	Sep 1	2	3	4	5
	Ferndale	Golden Gate					

October 2015

October 2015						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
4	5	6	7	1	2	3
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

November 2015						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	Sep 27	28	29	30	Oct 1	2	3
Sep 27 - Oct 3					Fresno		
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Oct 4 - 10				Fresno Simulcast Day			
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Oct 11 - 17	Fresno			Simulcast Day	Golden Gate		
	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Oct 18 - 24				Golden Gate Simulcast Day			
	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
Oct 25 - 31				Golden Gate Simulcast Day			To Dec 20 →

Option B

August 2015

August 2015						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

September 2015						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	Jul 26	27	28	29	30	31	Aug 1
7/26 - 31							Santa Rosa
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
8/2 - 7	Santa Rosa						
				Simulcast Day			
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
8/9 - 14	Santa Rosa			Ferndale			
				Ferndale (Host)		Golden Gate	
				Simulcast Day			
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
8/16 - 21	Ferndale						
	Golden Gate			Ferndale (Host)		Golden Gate	
				Simulcast Day			
	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
8/23 - 28	Ferndale			Simulcast Day			
	Golden Gate						
8/30 - 9/4	30	31	Sep 1	2	3	4	5
	Golden Gate						

New Option B

August 2015

August 2015							September 2015						
Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa	Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
2	3	4	5	6	7	1	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
9	10	11	12	13	14	8	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
16	17	18	19	20	21	15	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
23	24	25	26	27	28	22	27	28	29	30			
30	31					29							

	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	Jul 26	27	28	29	30	31	Aug 1
7/26 - 31							Santa Rosa
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
8/2 - 7	Santa Rosa						
				Simulcast Day			
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
8/9 - 14	Santa Rosa			Ferndale			
				Ferndale (Host)			
				Simulcast Day			
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
8/16 - 21	Ferndale						
				Ferndale (Host)		Golden Gate	
				Simulcast Day			
	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
8/23 - 28	Ferndale			Simulcast Day			
	Golden Gate						
	30	31	Sep 1	2	3	4	5
8/30 - 9/4	Golden Gate						

Sonoma County FAIRGROUNDS

TEL 707-545-4200 FAX 707 573-9342 ♦ www.SonomaCountyFair.com

1350 Bennett Valley Rd. ♦ Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Wine Country Racing

The proposal to allow Cal Expo three weeks of racing in 2015 by granting them the additional week of July 22 to July 26 (a race week that has traditionally been ran at Santa Rosa) will be detrimental to the Sonoma County Fair. If the California Horse Racing Board will only grant the 2015 Sonoma County Fair race meet dates of July 29 to August 16, it leaves Sonoma County Fair Board having to make a choice between two evils.


If the Sonoma County Fair board chooses to move their Fair onto these new race dates, the last week of Fair would be held during the first week of school for almost ½ of the students (20,000) in the County. The financial loss to the revenues from all aspects of fair programming would be significant, in the hundreds of thousands of dollars; but more importantly there will be lost opportunities for the Sonoma County youth, especially those that participate in our agriculture programs.

The most likely alternative will be that the Sonoma County Fair accepts these contested race dates and runs the last week of racing without a Fair program to support it. In other words, the 16 day Sonoma County Fair will start without racing, and end on August 9th; followed by another week of racing ran solo. We ran a week of racing without the fair in 2010 and experienced around a 50% decline in our on-track handle. The loss of these commissions, added to the decline in concessions, parking, admissions, reserved seats and sponsorship revenues would be as significant as the first scenario. In addition, not only would the horsemen suffer financially, but our meet would lack the excitement that our stakeholders are accustom to. Further, in this scenario, other meets will also be negatively impacted. It is assumed that Humboldt County Fair will be moved a week later into their school season and Golden Gate Fields will lose a week of racing.

Overall Points to consider with Proposed 2015 Northern California Fair Racing Schedule:

- The Santa Rosa race meet is one of the most flourishing race meets in California, referred to as the "Saratoga of the West".
- We have made many improvements to our facility including having the only turf course associated with the California fair race circuit.
- The horsemen support our meet for many reasons and more specifically because of our turf course, facility improvements and mild weather.

- This encroachment into our traditional racing date allocation period would have a negative effect on the California racing industry as a whole.
- There is no indication that granting Cal Expo additional racing dates to the detriment of our fair would benefit any other entity but Cal Expo.
- As a matter of historical reference, in 2009, the Cal Expo Board of Directors chose to move its fair/racing dates off of the traditional Labor Day period into its current July timeframe in order to avoid conflicts with school dates. At that time, they agreed to the current schedule and the consequences this move would have on their race dates.
- Even when Cal Expo ran their race meet over Labor Day weekend with Del Mar, Sonoma County Fair's meet still outperformed Sacramento.
- Two other possible solutions to providing Cal Expo with three weeks of racing may be for Cal Expo to move back to their historical Labor Day dates or for Alameda County Fair to move up in the calendar since schools are getting out by early June.
- The most recently concluded horse racing program at the Sonoma County Fair during the historical CHRB allocated dates period created:
 - **More handle than Cal Expo;** 12% more for average daily handle and 46% more for total handle.
 - **More purse money generated and awarded to California horsemen;** 14% on average and 48% for total purses generated and awarded.
 - **Higher thoroughbred field sizes;** 8% more averaged over past four years.
 - **Higher racing attendance percentage;** 8% more of fair patrons attended the race meet.
- **For your reference, please see the included information and correspondence that relates to the CHRB assignment of both 2014 and 2015 race dates.**




~ Handle Comparison ~

Total & Average Daily Handle Comparison for 2013 Race Meets

	<u>Brick & Mortar</u>	<u>ADW</u>	<u>Total Handle</u>	<u>Ave. Daily Handle</u>
Santa Rosa (13 days)	24,389,367	8,508,332	32,897,699	2,530,592
Cal Expo (8 days)	13,204,350	4,662,771	17,867,121	2,233,390

- Our average daily handle during the most recently concluded race meet exceeds the handle of Cal Expo.



~ Purses Generated ~

Gross Purses Generated from Brick & Mortar Facilities and ADW Wagers

<u>Racing Year</u>	<u>Cal Expo</u>	<u>Ave. Daily</u>	<u>Santa Rosa</u>	<u>Ave. Daily</u>
2009 (Labor Day period)	1,074,735	97,703	1,159,943	115,994
2010	757,976	75,798	1,429,150	94,676
2011	899,440	99,937	1,654,295	110,286
2012	857,870	107,233	1,625,857	108,390
2013	844,411	105,551	1,601,144	123,164

- The purses generated for our race meet far exceed the purses generated for the Cal Expo meet (even in 2009 when Cal Expo was still running on Labor Day).




~ Field Size Comparison ~

Thoroughbred Field Size Comparison at Santa Rosa vs. Cal Expo

SANTA ROSA				Ave. Runners
Racing Year	Racing Days	Total Races	Total Runners	Per Race
2010	15	118	952	8.07
2011	15	111	861	7.76
2012	15	108	833	7.71
2013	13	95	729	7.67

CAL EXPO				Ave. Runners
Racing Year	Racing Days	Total Races	Total Runners	Per Race
2010	10	63	456	7.24
2011	9	61	445	7.30
2012	8	56	377	6.73
2013	8	59	427	7.24

- The thoroughbred field size at Sonoma County Fair is higher than that of the Cal Expo meet creating more interest and wagering opportunities.




~ Attendance Comparison ~

Track Attendance Comparison at Santa Rosa vs. Cal Expo

SANTA ROSA		Track	Daily Average	Fair	% of Racing to
Racing Year	Racing Days	Attendance	Track Attendance	Attendance	Fair Patrons
2010	15	39,101	2,607	270,245	14.47%
2011	15	41,926	2,795	331,307	12.65%
2012	15	40,030	2,669	318,532	12.57%
2013	13	36,830	2,833	325,126	11.33%
Totals	58	157,887	2,722	1,245,210	12.68%

CAL EXPO		Track	Daily Average	Fair	% of Racing to
Racing Year	Racing Days	Attendance	Track Attendance	Attendance	Fair Patrons
2010	10	31,266	3,127	742,352	4.02%
2011	9	30,879	3,431	737,360	4.19%
2012	8	26,448	3,306	693,034	3.82%
2013	8	26,818	3,352	697,045	3.85%
Totals	36	115,411	3,297	2,869,791	4.02%

- The racing attendance to fair attendance ratio at Sonoma County Fair is much higher than that of Cal Expo.
- Cal Expo reports high attendance in their last week of fair that has traditionally continued to operate without their live horseracing product being offered. With the exclusion of non-race days (including Tuesday Free Kid's Day) and the discontinuance of the Sacramento Mile during the proposed fair racing (last Saturday), their attendance figures would decrease significantly.
- Santa Rosa has proven a steady attendance and overall higher percentage of racing patrons that Cal Expo will not be able to exceed.





5340 Skylane Boulevard
Santa Rosa, CA 95403-8246
(707) 524-2600 ■ www.scoe.org

November 18, 2013

Mr. David Israel, Chairman
California Horse Racing Board
9507 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 305
Beverly Hills, CA 90210

Dear Mr. Israel:

It has been brought to my attention that the California Horse Racing Board is suggesting that the Sonoma County Fair move its race meet, and ultimately their fair dates, a week later than normally scheduled. This would put the Fair and race meet dates to July 31 through August 17, 2014. This move would put the last week of the fair into our school year.

Four of Sonoma County's School Districts will return to school the week of August 11 - 15. Based on our current information, these four schools are the largest schools in the County, with the number of students enrolled in these districts totaling approximately 19,955. Both teachers and students make up a large portion of the Fair's temporary workforce. For many students, the Fair is a first-time summer job, while others come back to work at the Fair year after year. The experience gained by working at the Fair and the bonds developed is invaluable.

Mr. Israel, I urge you to reconsider your suggestion of moving the dates of the 2014 Sonoma County Fair. This move would seriously impact many of our students and teachers in this County, jeopardizing a valuable opportunity to be involved in our agricultural programs and our community.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "S. Harrington".

Dr. Steven Harrington, Superintendent
Sonoma County Office of Education

Attachment

Cc: Tawny Tesconi, Fair Manager

STATE CAPITOL
P.O. BOX 942849
SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0115

California Legislature

October 17, 2013

David Israel, Chair
California Horse Racing Board
1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95825

Re: Allocation of the Northern California 2014/15 Racing Calendar

Dear Chairman Israel:

We are writing to strongly oppose a proposed change in the horse racing schedule for the Sonoma County Fair's race dates.

In 2011, budget shortfalls forced the Legislature to eliminate state dollars for fairs. The loss of funding and limited resources has left many fairs around the state struggling to continue their operations. In looking at the racing calendar, we need to work together to provide solutions that increase the independence of the fairs and ensure their long term financial stability.

Requiring the Sonoma County Fair to reschedule deeper into August would result in decreased revenue and participation. Youth involvement by 4-H and Future Farmers of America clubs would significantly decrease with school back in session. This would also have a negative impact on the fair's temporary employees, many of whom are local teachers and students. In more rural areas like Sonoma County, this type of participation is vital to the fair's overall success.

Moreover, it is unrealistic and cost prohibitive to expect the fair to reschedule their dates in less than a year. It is our understanding that much of the planning including entertainment and vendor concessions contracts has already occurred. The publicity and marketing efforts to promote the 2014 fair dates have been in place since late July. For these reasons, we urge the California Horse Racing Board to allow the Sonoma County Fair to continue with plans for race dates July 24 through August 10, 2014.

As Legislators who represent the North Bay, we all agree that moving the race dates would not be in the best interest of our community, and we hope that you consider this letter at the October 24th board meeting.


Sincerely,




MARC LEVINE
Assemblymember, 10th Assembly District



WESLEY CHUBB
Assemblymember, 2nd Assembly District



MARIKO YAMADA
Assemblymember, 4th Assembly District



LOIS WOLK
State Senator, 3rd Senate District



NOREEN EVANS
State Senator, 2nd Senate District

- CC: Honorable Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Governor of California
Jacqueline Roberts, Office of Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr.
Jackie Wagner, Assistant Executive Director, California Horse Racing Board
Anna M. Caballero, Secretary, Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency
James Goldstene, Undersecretary, Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

STATE CAPITOL
P.O. BOX 942849
SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0115

California Legislature

December 10, 2013

David Israel, Chair
California Horse Racing Board
1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Adoption of Northern California 2014/15 Racing Calendar (Agenda Item 15)


Dear Chairman Israel:

This letter is in reference to Agenda Item 15 regarding the allocation of the Northern California 2014/15 racing calendar and related issues. We understand the staff recommendation is to make no changes to the race calendar for 2014. We strongly agree with this recommendation. Per our prior letter to the Board, any change to the 2014 racing schedule, given that it is a little more than 7 months away, would create a tremendous hardship for our fairs.


We also want to express strong opposition to any change of the 2015 racing calendar. We believe that such a decision at this time is premature given the strong opposition of numerous stakeholders including our county fairs. We urge the board to postpone a decision on the 2015 racing schedule to a later date so that all the stakeholders can continue to work with Board staff to address issues of concern in order to reach some consensus. There is no reason to rush this decision. We believe, to do so would be to the detriment of the fairs in the counties we represent.

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns. We look forward to continue working on this issue with your staff.

Sincerely,




WESLEY CHESBRO
Assemblyman, 2nd District




MARIKO YAMADA
Assemblywoman, 4th District



NOREEN EVANS
Senator, 2nd District



MARC LEVINE
Assemblyman, 10th District



LOIS WOLK
Senator, 3rd District

Cc: Anna Caballero, Secretary
Business, Consumer Services & Housing Agency





December 4, 2013

Commissioners
 California Horse Racing Board
 1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300
 Sacramento, CA 95825

RE: Growing Racing in Northern California through Three Weeks of Thoroughbred Racing at the California State Fair

Honorable Commissioners:

Thank you for your ongoing efforts to support and enhance horse racing in California. As a fellow State Agency, the California Exposition and State Fair appreciates the Board's consideration of our preceding letters on this issue, dated June 4, July 14, and October 16, 2013. In addition, we appreciate the Board's establishment of an Ad Hoc Committee to meet with all parties in Northern California to work toward a realistic 2014 and 2015 Horse Racing Calendar. Given that more than 90 days have passed since the Board established this Ad Hoc Committee, there is a general belief that there is not enough time to make key changes to the 2014 Northern California racing calendar. Thus it appears the 2013 calendar will roughly be replicated for 2014, with more significant enhancements being proposed for 2015. **Please accept this letter as formal support of the Ad Hoc Committee's recommendation for three weeks of thoroughbred racing at the California State Fair, July 10-26, 2015.**

Members of the Ad Hoc Committee requested additional information regarding the comparisons in Fair attendance, population bases, economic generation, growth potential, etc. Below is information in partial response to their request.

Growth Potential & Exposure

Adding 1 week of racing to the State Fair would potentially expose 344,596 more Fair attendees to live racing and racing at Del Mar.

With 697,045 attendees in 2013, the California State Fair is the most attended Fair in the State, north of Los Angeles County. The 2013 State Fair operated for 17 days, spread over three distinct attendance phases, as outline here:

Phase I: Racing concurrent with Hollywood Park

102,873 Fair Attendees (Average Daily Attendance 34,291)

The State Fair opens on Friday, and races in tandem with the final three days of Hollywood Park's Race Meet. Thus 15% of attendees at State Fair are exposed to live racing during Hollywood Park's closing week.

Phase II: Racing concurrent with Del Mar

249,571 Fair Attendees (Average Daily Attendance 35,653)

The State Fair then operates a full week (Monday through Sunday) and races Wednesday through Sunday, concurrently with the first five days of Del Mar's Race Meet. Thus 36% of attendees at State Fair are exposed to live racing during Del Mar's opening week.

Phase III: One Week of Fair with No Racing

344,596 Fair Attendees (Average Daily Attendance 49,228)

The State Fair operates its final full week (Monday through Sunday) without any horse racing, as racing has moved to Santa Rosa. Thus 49% of attendees at State Fair do not have exposure to live racing.

Adding 1 week of racing to the State Fair would potentially expose 344,596 Fair attendees to the sport of live racing. These same 344,596 patrons would also be more directly exposed to the Del Mar Race Meet.

By way of comparison, it has been reported that 314,500 people attended the 2013 Sonoma County Fair during its 16 days of business (average daily attendance of 19,656.) The final seven days of State Fair has 344,596 patrons, compared to 314,500 patrons that attend the 16 days of the Sonoma County Fair.

Sacramento's Population, Growth & Economic Impact

With a 2010 census population of 1.45 million, Sacramento County is the 8th largest County in California and the 3rd largest County in Northern California (following Santa Clara County with a population of 1.83 million and Alameda County with a population of 1.55 million.) Sacramento County grew by some 16% between 2000 and 2010. Of the 51 metropolitan areas in excess of 1 million residents, the Sacramento metropolitan area is ranked as the 27th largest in America. According to the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, in 2010 the Gross Metropolitan Product (GMP) for the area was \$83.4 billion.

In comparison, Sonoma County's 2010 census population of 491,829 places it as the 17th largest County in California. Sonoma County grew by some 7% between 2000 and 2010. The area is ranked as the 105th largest area in America. According to the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, in 2010 the GMP for the area was \$6.1 billion.

Year-round Appetite for Horse Racing

In 2012, Cal Expo's Satellite Wagering Facility generated some \$20,236,697 in wagers. Additionally, Cal Expo hosts Harness Racing from September through May each year and is well equipped with night lighting for the racetrack. Cal Expo has the largest, newest and most winterized Grandstand of all Fair racetracks in Northern California. More than \$1 million has been invested in upgrades to the Grandstands, with additional improvements planned for the coming year. Cal Expo also dedicates the largest number of valuable acres in California to support horse racing, with its large stabling and barn area.

When the MAGNA Corporation previously evaluated building a new race track in California, they selected the community of Dixon as the ideal site, which is less than 25 miles from Sacramento.

Labor Day & Handle Considerations

As the only State Fair in California, our State Fair is charged with showcasing the talents, achievements and interests of the people of the entire State. Thus the dates of the State Fair must consider when the greatest amount of California's population can travel to Sacramento. Consequently, for a period of time the annual State Fair coincided with the traditional "end-of-summer" travel weekend which included Labor Day. As schools around the State began starting earlier and earlier in the summer, State Fair evaluated its falling attendance numbers and determined it should adjust its dates to the middle of the summer. Consequently, for the past four years the State Fair has been conducted from mid to late July – thus allowing families from around the State to travel to Sacramento.

Horse racing tied to Labor Day was much more lucrative for State Fair, as it was operated concurrent with the final two weeks of Del Mar's race meet. When State Fair moved off of Labor Day, Golden Gate Fields received these two lucrative weeks of racing concurrent with Del Mar. Should State Fair ever be requested to move back to Labor Day, would possible eliminate two weeks of racing.

With regards to Handle and Commissions currently generated by the State Fair, it must be evaluated in recognition that it is the only Racing Fair that straddles the end of Hollywood Park and the beginning of Del Mar. Hollywood Park's closing week for the past few years have not been their strongest.

For 2014, State Fair racing will straddle the final week of the new Los Alamitos experiment and the opening week of Del Mar. Although we all wish great success to Los Alamitos, the impacts to State Fair for 2014 and beyond are still unknown. Had a third week been added to State Fair racing for 2014, any possible negative financial impacts associated with the newly established meet at Los Alamitos could have been lessened for State Fair.

The Future of Racing

The State of California is clearly the single largest owner of racing related facilities in California, considering State Fair's 900 acres, San Diego County Fair's 370 acres, and the San Joaquin County Fair's 252 acres. Note, this is more than double the aggregate acres owned by any private racing entity, considering Santa Anita is roughly 320 acres, Golden Gate Fields is roughly 140 acres, and San Luis Rey Downs is roughly 205 acres. Additionally, the State of California owns the majority of Off Track Wagering Facilities in California through the network of Fairs. After paying purses, distributions and license fees, all profits generated from racing at California's Fairs go back into the operations and facilities owned by the people of California. Naturally, the State, as the largest owner of racing related real estate in California, has to carefully balance the interest of all parties, particularly its own assets.

Allocating a third week of Racing to the State Fair will:


1. Enhance racing opportunities in one of the nation's largest metropolitan and economic markets.
2. Expose some 344,596 more State Fair attendees to live racing.
3. Create the potential for 344,596 more State Fair attendees to actively bet on racing at Del Mar.

4. Allow for more revenues to be reinvested in the racing assets owned by the State of California.
5. Place the State Fair on par with the two County Fairs that already have three weeks of Racing (Alameda County and Sonoma County).
6. Further prepare Northern California racing for any potential closure of Golden Gate Fields, as has occurred at Bay Meadows and Hollywood Park.

In closing, the Ad Hoc Committee of Commissioners Beneto and Choper listened to the input of all parties, carefully explored options, facilitated key negotiations, and jointly recommended what they believe is in the long-term overall best interest of the State of California. They and their recommendations are in good company with a rather astute recognized figure, Albert Einstein, who once defined insanity as **"doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results."**

Thank you for your ongoing service to our Great State and for your support of the Ad Hoc Committee's recommendation for 3 weeks of racing at your California State Fair.

Sincerely,



Rick K. Pickering
Chief Executive Officer

cc: California Association of Racing Fairs (CARF)



CAL EXPO

January 15, 2014

Commissioners
California Horse Racing Board
1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95825

**RE: Request for Support of Three Weeks of Thoroughbred Racing
at the California State Fair, July 10-26, 2015**

Honorable Commissioners:

Thank you for your ongoing efforts to support and enhance horse racing in California. As a fellow State Agency, the California Exposition and State Fair appreciates the Board's consideration of our preceding letters on this issue, dated June 4, July 14, October 16, and December 4, 2013. In addition, we appreciate the Board's establishment of an Ad Hoc Committee to meet with parties in Northern California to work toward a realistic 2014 and 2015 Horse Racing Calendar. **Please accept this letter as formal support of the Committee's 2015 Racing Calendar, as proposed and reviewed in a public meeting on Monday, January 13, that allocates three weeks of racing to the California State Fair, July 10-26, 2015.**

Members of the Ad Hoc Committee requested additional information in response to a letter from the Sonoma County Fair to the California Horse Racing Board. Given that we were not provided with a copy of this letter until late Monday of this week, we will not be able to address all items on such short notice. However, we respectfully offer the information below in partial response.

Historical Racing at California State Fair

As outlined in prior correspondence, for more than 160 years, California Exposition and State Fair has been the home and showcase of some of California's finest horseracing. We operate the only track in California that races harness horses from September to May each year. Thus we are the only Fair track operating a live racing product throughout the entire year. The State Fair has the privilege of operating one of the oldest Horse Racing meets in California, introducing Californians and visitors alike to the excitement and majesty of these cherished equine athletes.

Cal Expo has made a significant investment in racing related capital projects over the last ten years, in the amount of \$4,004,828, as demonstrated in the table below:

Year	Overall Annual Racetrack Investment	Notable Projects
2003	\$ 1,069,679	Washrack upgrades, equipment upgrades
2004	\$ 1,532,731	Manure removal and containment infrastructure
2005	\$ 161,605	Horsemen's trailer park
2006	\$ 407,725	Grandstand refurbishment
2007	\$ 100,845	Grandstand refurbishment, track maintenance
2008	\$ 28,403	Grandstand refurbishment
2009	\$ 270,544	Barn/paddock restrooms
2010	\$ 118,548	Stable repair
2011	\$ 217,763	Tote board upgrades, backstretch infrastructure
2012	\$ 36,517	Tackroom safety improvements
2013	\$ 60,466	ADA compliance in Grandstands

2013 Progress and Results

A new management team has come on board at Cal Expo following the retirement of a long-time Chief Executive Officer in December 2012. The new team is committed to horse racing and committed to making horse racing at Cal Expo a flagship event in the Northern California racing calendar. The new team brings a fresh perspective and high level of understanding and recognition of the opportunity to present a quality on and off-track racing product and environment. In the past year alone, this renewed focus has led to:

- Introduction of multiple new races during our 2013 Meet, including two stakes races (Governor's Cup and Fillies Race)
- In working with CARF, the California State Fair Thoroughbred Meet offered higher overnight purses than any other track in Northern California**
- More diverse and improved integration of the Horse Racing product into the annual State Fair's \$1.2 million marketing campaign
- Improved access to opportunities for corporate and box seat customers through online marketing and sales, ultimately provoking broader exposure to our live racing product
- First time multiple page photo feature of our Horse Racing meet in a local mainstream lifestyle magazine (attached)

- Implementation of a variety of educational promotions catering to both established players and new fans including Handicapping Workshops, daily "Let it Roll" handicapping contest, Guaranteed Pick 4 pool, televised Pick 4 selection show and expanded coverage on TVG/HRTV
- Establishment of an interactive "Track Walk" program geared towards exposing youth and families to the sport of horse racing, its basic components and creating a long lasting positive memory around the excitement of horse racing

*** California State Fair has increased its overnight purses by 25% in 2012 and again by 9% in 2013 compared to Golden Gate Fields' overnight purses. Cal Expo participates in the stakes program offered by CARF, which has equaled or surpassed any other in Northern California.*

Improvements to the Quality of Racing at Cal Expo

Cal Expo offers one of the finest race track Grandstands in California. The Grandstand features a full-service Turf Club, Clubhouse and General Admission satellite wagering area, each of which is weatherized and air-conditioned. The Turf Club also features extensive outdoor table seating with full menu and waitstaff service provided by Ovations Fanfare, one of the leading food service concessionaires in the state. In addition, California Exposition and State Fair has committed to a variety of infrastructure upgrades in the 2014 calendar year, including:

<u>Project</u>	<u>Status</u>
Racetrack Office Improvements	Completed January 2014
Racetrack Clubhouse Renovation	Currently under construction
Backstretch Tack Room Conversion	In planning
Tire Wash Backstretch	Budgeted

Additionally, all staff has been encouraged to make other possible improvements, including enhanced pedestrian lines of sight, widening walkways and additional signage, with the purpose of increased pedestrian flow to experience our live racing product during the annual State Fair.

There was some discussion during the subcommittee meeting about concerns brought to our attention by the State Fire Marshall's Office during our 2013 Thoroughbred Race Meet. These concerns related to egress from the backside tack rooms in particular areas of the barns. Our team moved swiftly to address those concerns and ensure a successful 2013 meet. Since then we have been working hand in hand with their office. We have brought a highly respected architect on board and continue to make progress with the State Fire Marshall. We want the Board to know that we have committed \$400,000 in further enhancement to the living areas in the barns, and expect more updates to follow within the next month.

California Horse Racing Board
 January 15, 2014
 Page 4

California State Fair is a full participant in the Track Safety and Maintenance Program managed by the California Authority of Racing Fairs (CARF). This program provides Cal Expo with a full complement of specialized equipment and expertise for maintenance of the racing surface at our Fair.

Growth Potential and Exposure

Expanding racing from two weeks to three weeks at the California State Fair will be an investment in the future for horse racing in Northern California. As noted in our previous correspondence, Sacramento is the 27th largest market in America with an estimated \$83.4 billion in annual spending.

As a fully integrated component in our marketing and promotions campaign, we are confident that the investment will pay off for the California racing industry. The State Fair will potentially expose more Fair attendees to live racing and racing at Del Mar, as we are home to the highest total attendance of all Fairs in Northern California:

<u>Fair</u>	<u>Total Reported 2013 Fair Attendance</u>
California State Fair	697,045
Big Fresno Fair	641,351
Alameda County Fair	391,426
Sonoma County Fair	314,500
Humboldt County Fair	70,000
<u>San Joaquin County Fair</u>	<u>65,000</u>
Total	2,179,322

In 2013, attendance during the third week of the California State Fair was 344,596. Attendance during the last week of the State Fair is more than the total attendance at most Fairs in California, yet Cal Expo did not have racing to feature for these potential new fans.

Additionally, with our year-round harness racing program and successful satellite wagering operation, we look to continue to identify incentives for year round exposure to live racing. Cal Expo is an important participant in the off-site betting network and contributes significant commissions and purses to other California tracks that serve as Host Tracks throughout the year.

<u>Fair</u>	<u>SW Facility Attendance</u>
Alameda County Fair	93,402
California State Fair	66,481
San Joaquin County Fair	54,915
Sonoma County Fair	45,245
Big Fresno Fair	23,338
<u>Humboldt County Fair</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Total	283,381

California Horse Racing Board
January 15, 2014
Page 5

Clarification on History of Third Week of Racing at Santa Rosa

The Sonoma County Fair's letter states that a third week has been "traditionally" run at Santa Rosa, however the third week of racing at Santa Rosa was formerly the last week of racing run at the Solano County Fair (Vallejo). In 2007, Vallejo and Santa Rosa ran this as a joint week of racing, adding a third week to Santa Rosa's existing meet. In 2008 and 2009, the week reverted back to Vallejo and Santa Rosa resumed running a traditional two-week meet. When Vallejo discontinued racing, Santa Rosa was hesitant to take on the additional week. In 2010, Santa Rosa added the week of racing, without a concurrent Fair. Thus Santa Rosa had two weeks of Fair with three weeks of racing. In 2011, Santa Rosa decided to add a third week of Fair to run concurrent with three weeks of racing.

Given that the Alameda County Fair and Sonoma County Fair both have three weeks of Racing concurrent with three weeks of Fair, it is prudent for the State Fair to begin operating three weeks of racing with its three weeks of Fair.


Thank you for your ongoing commitment to our Great State and your support of the Ad Hoc Committee's unanimous recommendation. We also appreciate that the full Board voted unanimously at your December Board Meeting to grant the California State Fair three weeks of live racing in 2015. Adding a week of racing to the largest Fair in Northern California and to the State's Capital City is a prudent step.

Sincerely,



Rick K. Pickering
Chief Executive Officer

CC: California Association of Racing Fairs




"This is Juan Sanchez riding Mountain Yodler in the last race of the second day of racing at the California State Fair. This shot was a complicated one to get because I had to use a remote camera, which I was triggering from the opposite side of the track, since people aren't allowed along the inside rail of the track during a race."

HOW TO RUN

Once a year, Cal Expo features thoroughbred races that coincide with the California State Fair. Horse racing—with centuries of tradition and pageantry behind it—boasts some of the strongest, fastest and most beautiful creatures on earth. We visited the scene on its opening weekend in July to take a closer look at life on and off the track.


PHOTOGRAPHS AND WORDS
BY MAX WHITTAKER






▲
"While walking through the Cal Expo stables, I found Pablo Villamar from Pleasanton taking a nap between the two horses he owns. All the horses arrived pretty early in the morning, and with the races starting in the afternoon, Pablo still had lots of time to kill before making final preparations and walking each horse to the track for the race."

"I love the concentration and care that groom Luis Izaguirre showed as he very meticulously covered the leg of a horse named Purple Starduster with an ice wrap before racing started. Grooms, trainers and owners were looking for every advantage they could find to get their horses to the winner's circle."
▼





“Veterinarian Dr. William Grantham is injecting a thoroughbred named Pump N Up with Lasix, a brand name for furosemide, a drug used to prevent racehorses from bleeding in the lungs—apparently a common problem for thoroughbreds. When I first stumbled on this scene, I thought there was some illicit doping going on, but it turns out that Lasix is an approved drug that is regularly given to racehorses.”


“I was only allowed to shoot for a few minutes in the Jock’s Room, but managed to get this picture of a jockey checking a list of entries for upcoming races. I didn’t know it at the time, but this is one of horse racing’s legends, Russell Baze. Just a few days before, the 55-year-old had won his 12,000th race, the North American record for most wins in horse racing history.”






▲
 "I was disappointed to have so little access to the jockeys, but the doorway to the Jock's Room had such good light, I couldn't resist asking Abel Cedillo to pose for a quick portrait. The Jock's Room is kind of a locker room for the jockeys. They can shower, relax, eat and change their silks (what the industry calls the brightly colored uniforms) depending on which owner they're riding for. Minutes later, Cedillo rode Maggie's Gold to victory in the fourth race on opening day."


▼
 "This photograph was taken after an evening race on opening day. I love how the bright color of this red saddlecloth contrasts against jockey Barrington Harvey's shiny boot and the gray and very muscular flank of Erma's First Love. It was crazy to see these jockeys tear around the track on these tall horses while perched in these tiny stirrups."






△ "Here is jockey Alejandro Gomez getting his weight verified right after a race on this cool, classic-looking scale mounted in the winner's circle. It's amazing how quickly the jockeys dismount, yank off their saddles, and queue up for the scale in a very tightly choreographed few minutes before the track is prepped for the next race."

"This is Tony Martinez walking his horse Bullie Bob through the paddock before race time. While many horse owners are fairly wealthy folks who have little day-to-day involvement with their horses, some like Martinez operate on a much smaller budget, taking on a lot of the grunt work of feeding, bathing and walking that's normally delegated to a hired groom. The paddock walk is a staple of horse racing, but I struggled to get a compelling photo in the poorly lit area until this shaft of light beamed in."





▲ "I'll admit it. I was a little surprised the first time I saw Marcia Stortz's ponytail bobbing underneath her helmet. In a world dominated by men, Stortz is one of the relatively few female jockeys in the sport and the only one I saw at Cal Expo. Here she quickly hands off her saddle-cloth before heading back to the Jock's Room."

▼ "This is another picture taken with my remote camera. I like how the sun flared into my lens, which was dirtied by the constant dust and water from the tractors that harrow the track surface after every race. I hope that the sunlight, combined with the blurred horses, shows the intensity of these beautiful, powerful animals as they thunder neck and neck down the finishing straight. Photo finishes were a regular occurrence."





▲ "Even for a horse racing neophyte like myself, the notes of the classic bugle tune 'Call to the Post' instantly brought to mind memories of classic events like the Kentucky Derby. Horse racing is often referred to as the 'sport of kings.' While the crowd at the State Fair is decidedly more proletarian these days, it was cool to see—and hear—some of the old-school pageantry."

"In this picture 8-year-old Devin Gagnon, left, and his sister, 6-year-old Maya, fill souvenir bottles with track dirt. Every day, after the first race, officials invite fans onto the track to take a closer look at and touch the surface the horses race on. It's an effort that mimics similar field walks for baseball or football fans—plus, give any kid an excuse to dig in fresh dirt and they'll take it." ▼








"It's amazing to see the rippling, veined muscles on this thoroughbred named Maggie's Gold and the concentration and poise of jockey Abel Cedillo as he rides to a win in the fourth race of opening day. Thoroughbred horse racing only comes to Cal Expo for a few weekends during the State Fair, so most of these horses and jockeys are part of the crew that race regularly at Golden Gate Fields in Berkeley. It's just another day at the office for the Bay Area-based Cedillo, who has already raced more than 440 times this year."



“John Longmire let me peek over his shoulder as he organized his betting slips, bingo card and racing preview sheet, and settled in for the first couple of races on opening day at the State Fair. There’s a dizzying array of ways to bet on each race. The payout in horse racing is based on a pari-mutuel system, where all the money goes into a pool and then gets distributed based on the horse you bet on and where it placed.”

“I saw this guy from the winner’s circle and couldn’t resist shooting him. We were separated by a hodgerow so we couldn’t talk, but a few seconds after I shot him, we shared a wink and a nod. He’s a classic. I’m new to horse racing, but that’s the kind of guy I expect to see at the tracks. He’s straight out of central casting. Racing schedule, tall-boy beer and a smoke—he’s ready.”






▲
 "Up in the VIP section with box seats, I hung out for a race with Mary Cardiff (center) and her lively group of friends. They were excited to be at the track and Mary cheered loudly for "her" horse during the Governor's Cup race. Some bettors pick their horse after careful scrutiny in the paddock, others bet based on their lucky numbers, while Mary often picks her favorite jockey, Russell Baze, if he's in the race."


▼
 "This is Kevin Ritchie, executive chef at Shady Lady Saloon, and Whitney Johnson celebrating as Whitney's wager on Expensive Pens, which won the sixth race of opening day, paid off. There are plenty of betting opportunities at Cal Expo: bettors can wager on not only the live races at the State Fair, but also satellite racing at Southern California's Hollywood Park and many other tracks across the country and the world."






△
 "Here is jockey Eswan Flores posing on Rock of Ages for his winner's circle photo after his victory during the last race of the day. It was clear how important these photos were to the owners, as they often ordered a handful of prints from the track photographer."

▽
 "This horse, named Residual Income, is getting an ice bath and dinner in the stables after a day of racing. It was interesting to see the horses being treated like any human professional athlete with trainers, doctors and cooling fans—all in pursuit of the win."





▲
 "After a day of racing, I found groom Arturo Flores walking this horse in what seemed like an endless circle. He was trying to cool down the horse after the race and a bath. Grooms do most of the grunt work of caring for the horses. They stay with them all day, aside from the few, short minutes of the race."

▼
 "As the sun was setting, I found Sacramento groom Amber Carter kissing this horse named Lady while cleaning up. Amber was one of the few locals I found working in the stables. Most trainers brought their grooms with them from the Bay Area, but Amber was hired to help out just for the State Fair. She's a regular during Cal Expo's much longer schedule of harness racing."



JOHN GARAMENDI
3RD DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA



UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 11, 2014

2438 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
PHONE: (202) 225-1880
FAX: (202) 225-5914

DISTRICT OFFICES:

412 G STREET
DAVIS, CA 95616
PHONE: (530) 753-5301
FAX: (530) 753-5614

609 JEFFERSON STREET
FARFIELD, CA 94533
PHONE: (707) 438-1822
FAX: (707) 438-0523

990 KLAMATH LANE, SUITE 4
YUBA CITY, CA 95993
PHONE: (530) 329-8865
FAX: (530) 763-4248

ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE
STRATEGIC FORCES SUBCOMMITTEE
TACTICAL AIR AND LAND FORCES SUBCOMMITTEE

TRANSPORTATION AND
INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE
RANKING MEMBER
COAST GUARD AND MARITIME TRANSPORTATION
SUBCOMMITTEE

WATER RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT
SUBCOMMITTEE

AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE

GENERAL FARM COMMODITIES AND RISK
MANAGEMENT SUBCOMMITTEE

California Horse Racing Board
1010 Hurley Way #300
Sacramento, CA 95825

Dear Members of the Board:

As a longtime supporter of the California State Fair and its horse racing venue, I would like to express my strong support for a three week race at Cal Expo. It is more than tradition, it's the State Fair. Two weeks just does not satisfy the fans of horse racing or fully capture the economic benefits to the Sacramento region.

Schedules in the Northern California region can be adjusted to avoid conflicts while allowing the three weeks at Cal Expo. It can be done and it should be done. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

JOHN GARAMENDI
Member of Congress

DISTRICT OFFICE
1020 N STREET ROOM 576
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
TEL (916) 651-1529
FAX (916) 327-8754

STATE CAPITOL
ROOM 205
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
TEL (916) 651-4006
FAX (916) 323-2263

California State Senate

SENATOR
DARRELL STEINBERG
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE
SIXTH SENATE DISTRICT

RULES COMMITTEE
CHAIR
PUBLIC SAFETY



March 12, 2014

Chuck Winner, Chairman
Commissioners
California Horse Racing Board
1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95825

Dear Chairman Winner and Commissioners:

I am writing in support of increasing the number of Thoroughbred Racing dates at the California Exposition and State Fair from the current two weeks to three, coinciding with the annual California State Fair.

The California Exposition (Cal Expo) has hosted horse racing for nearly 150 years. Literally millions of people have enjoyed horse racing in Sacramento. Now that Cal Expo has established the optimal time of year to host the state fair, the next step to making this a true showcase for California is to increase the number of Thoroughbred Racing days at the State Fair to run the full length of the State Fair.

Thank you for your consideration of this change to the horse racing schedule. If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact my District Director, Susan McKee at (916)651-1529.

Sincerely,

DARRELL STEINBERG
President pro Tempore
6th Senate District

DS/sm

STATE CAPITOL
P.O. BOX 942849
SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0007
(916) 319-2007
FAX (916) 319-2107

DISTRICT OFFICE
915 L STREET, SUITE 110
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
(916) 324-4676
FAX (916) 324-3338

Assembly
California Legislature



ROGER DICKINSON
ASSEMBLYMEMBER, SEVENTH DISTRICT

COMMITTEES

Chair, Banking and Finance
Budget
Budget Subcommittee #1
Judiciary
Business, Professions and Consumer
Protection

Chair, Select Committee on Delinquency
Prevention and Youth Development

February 20, 2013

Chuck Winner, Chairman
Commissioners
California Horse Racing Board
1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95825

RE: February 21, 2014 Agenda item #4 – Allocation of Northern California 2015 Racing Calendar

Dear Chairman Winner and Commissioners:

I am writing to request your support for increasing the number of Thoroughbred Racing dates at the California Exposition and State Fair from the current two weeks to three and for these dates to coincide with the annual State Fair.

Sacramento has a long and proud tradition of horse racing. The California Exposition (Cal Expo) has hosted horse racing since shortly after California joined the union in 1850. For nearly 150 years, millions of people have enjoyed horse racing in Sacramento, the State Capitol.

Now that Sacramento has established the optimal time of year to host the state fair, I believe the next step to making this a true showcase of what California has and can offer is to increase the number of Thoroughbred Racing days at the State Fair to run the full length of the fair.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

ROGER DICKINSON
Assemblymember, 7th District



DAVE JONES
Insurance Commissioner

February 19, 2014

Chairperson Chuck Winner
California Horse Racing Board
1010 Hurley Way, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95825

Sent via facsimile transmission

Dear Chairperson Winner:

I am writing in support of the proposal to allocate three weeks of horse racing to Cal Expo during the State Fair.

The California State Fair is an important state asset. It provides an opportunity to showcase California horse racing, agriculture, ranching, commerce, tourism and the arts, among other attributes of our fine state.

Horse racing has been, is and should continue to be an integral part of the State Fair. The State Fair and horse racing benefit from having horse racing available during the entirety of the State Fair. Many Californians first direct exposure to horse racing is at the State Fair. Californians attending the Fair should have the opportunity to enjoy horse racing throughout the entirety of the State Fair. And those coming to Cal Expo for horse racing should have the opportunity to take full advantage of the entire run of the State Fair, with its daily changes of entertainers on the Main Stage as well as other different attractions each day.

I hope you will support the proposal to extend horse racing to the entire three weeks of the State Fair.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Dave Jones".

DAVE JONES
Insurance Commissioner

CC: Rick Baederker, CHRB Executive Director
Bo Derek, CHRB 1st Vice Chair
Richard Rosenberg, CHRB 2nd Vice Chair
Madeline Auerbach, CHRB Board Member
Steve Beneto, CHRB Board Member
Jesse Choper, CHRB Board Member
George Krikorian, CHRB Board Member
Governor Jerry Brown, Cal Expo Board Member
Sonney Chong, Cal Expo Board Chair
David Mallel, Cal Expo Board Vice Chair
Rima Barkett, Cal Expo Board Member
Cornelius Gallagher, Cal Expo Board Member
Rex Hime, Cal Expo Board Member
Hallie Muller, Cal Expo Board Member
K. Mark Nelson, Cal Expo Board Member
Patrick O'Brien, Cal Expo Board Member
Willie Pelote Sr., Cal Expo Board Member

STAFF ANALYSIS
REPORT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE,
OFFICE OF STATE AUDITS AND EVALUATIONS,
TO ADVISE THE BOARD OF THE
OPERATIONAL AUDIT BEING CONDUCTED
BY THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

Regular Board Meeting
March 21, 2014

ISSUE

The Department of Finance is presently conducting an operational review of the California Horse Racing Board (CHRB) in order to ensure best practices are being applied. The Department of Finance will advise the Board of the status of the review to date.

ANALYSIS

Effective July 1, 2013, the beginning of the current fiscal year, the CHRB began reporting to the Business, Consumer Services, and Housing Agency (BCSHA). This reporting protocol change does not affect the way in which the CHRB regulates the horse racing industry, but does impact the operational steps necessary to carry out day-to-day tasks at CHRB. To ensure best practices are being applied the Department of Finance is conducting an operational review of CHRB, for the integrity, viability and safety of the racing industry.

In December 2013 CHRB management and State Audits and Evaluations staff had an entrance conference, to review audit expectations. Audit staff began the engagement in late December 2013, anticipating completion by the end of April 2014. The contract terminates June 30, 2014, the end of the current fiscal year. The engagement includes review of accounting controls; review of CHRB directives to ensure adequate protections are in place for consumers and the state; review contracting policies and procedures; review licensing procedures to determine if controls over the licensing process are properly designed and implemented; and review enforcement oversight activities.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department of Finance will present the status of the engagement to date, for discussion and informational purposes.

STAFF ANALYSIS
DISCUSSION BY THE BOARD REGARDING
THE SCHEDULE OF STAKE RACES HISTORICALLY RUN IN
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Regular Board Meeting
March 21, 2014

ISSUE

Whether stakes races are being lost to California due to such races not being run after two years.

ANALYSIS

At the February 2014 Regular Board Meeting, Commissioner Auerbach questioned whether California was losing stakes races, particularly graded stakes. She stated it was difficult to determine if an applicant for a race meeting was dropping stakes, as the information provided on the application for license did not include a stakes schedule that covered multiple years. She was particularly concerned with the Los Angeles Turf Club II, Inc. (LATC) stakes schedule covering April 25, 2014 through June 29, 2014, and wanted clarification as to whether California was losing graded stakes.

Subsequent to the February 2014 Regular Meeting additional information concerning Southern California stakes races has been provided by LATC.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Board hear from Commissioner Auerbach and industry stake holders.

STAFF ANALYSIS
DISCUSSION BY THE BOARD REGARDING INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR
JOCKEYS IN CALIFORNIA INCLUDING THE LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICIES
PROVIDED BY CALIFORNIA RACE TRACKS AS WELL AS THE CALIFORNIA
MANDATED WORKERS COMPENSATION PROGRAM

Regular Board Meeting
March 21, 2014

ISSUE

Clarification of insurance coverage for California Jockeys.

ANALYSIS

Under current practice, any applicant for an occupational license as trainer must – at the time of licensure – provide the Board with a certificate of workers' compensation insurance coverage. The trainer's certificate must be endorsed to provide coverage for jockeys and stable operations. The trainers' workers compensation policy covers the liability of owners, as training operations are typically "public stables." A public stable is one in which a trainer trains for a number of owners, rather than exclusively for one. If a trainer has his policy terminated, his license is automatically suspended until evidence of workers' compensation insurance is provided.

Each applicant for license to operate a race meeting must provide the Board with a certificate of liability insurance. The Board must be named as a certificate holder, and it must receive not less than ten days notice if the workers' compensation coverage under this policy is cancelled or terminated. The typical certificate of insurance provided by a racing association provides workers' compensation and employers' liability coverage of one million dollars, for each accident.

The Jockey's Guild has expressed concern about the possible reduction of workers' compensation liability coverage provided by racing associations.

Board Rule 1501, Workers' Compensation Insurance Required, provides that no person may be licensed as a trainer, owner, trainer-driver or in any other capacity in which the person acts as the employer of any other licensee at any authorized race meeting, unless his liability for workers' compensation has been secured. Evidence of that security for liability must be provided the Board. If the security for liability for workers' compensation is cancelled or terminated, the license held by that person shall be automatically suspended. The trainer of a public stable shall provide evidence that his workers' compensation insurance policy has been amended to cover each person for whom he trains horses.

California Business and Professions Code section 19464 provides that no application for a horse owner's license or for a license to conduct a race meeting shall be granted unless

the applicant's liability for workers' compensation is secured in accordance with Division 4 of the Labor Code. Termination of security for that liability during the period of a license shall result in the immediate automatic suspension of the license, and also shall be grounds for termination of the license.

RECOMMENDATION

This item is presented for discussion by the Board. Staff recommends the Board hear from the Jockey's Guild and interested parties.

DISCUSSION BY THE BOARD REGARDING INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR
JOCKEYS IN CALIFORNIA INCLUDING THE LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICIES
PROVIDED BY CALIFORNIA RACE TRACKS AS WELL AS THE CALIFORNIA
MANDATED WORKERS COMPENSATION PROGRAM

Regular Board Meeting
March 21, 2014

1501. Worker's Compensation Insurance Required.

No person may be licensed as a trainer, owner, trainer-driver, or in any other capacity in which such person acts as the employer of any other licensee at any authorized race meeting, unless his liability for worker's compensation has been secured in accordance with the Labor Code of the State of California and until evidence of such security for liability is provided the Board. Should any such required security for liability for worker's compensation be cancelled or terminated, any license held by such person shall be automatically suspended and shall be grounds for revocation of the license. The trainer of a public stable shall provide evidence that the policy of insurance securing his liability for worker's compensation has been endorsed or amended to include, as an additional insured, each person for whom he trains horses to the extent that such person is exposed to liability as the employer of a jockey, unless such person has procured coverage for such exposure and has furnished evidence of such coverage to the Board.

DISCUSSION BY THE BOARD REGARDING INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR
JOCKEYS IN CALIFORNIA INCLUDING THE LIABILITY INSURANCE POLICIES
PROVIDED BY CALIFORNIA RACE TRACKS AS WELL AS THE CALIFORNIA
MANDATED WORKERS COMPENSATION PROGRAM

Regular Board Meeting
March 21, 2014

BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 19464

19464. (a) No application for a horse owner's license or for a license to conduct a race meeting shall be granted unless the applicant's liability for workers' compensation is secured in accordance with Division 4 (commencing with Section 3700) of the Labor Code. Any termination of security for that liability during the period of a license shall result in the immediate automatic suspension of the license during the period of that termination and also shall be a ground for revocation of the license. (b) No application for a license to conduct a race meeting shall be granted unless the applicant has deposited with the board a surety bond in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000), or a greater amount, as determined by the board, which is sufficient to ensure payment of employee wages and benefits including, but not limited to, health, welfare, and pension plans. The surety bond shall be maintained during the period of the meeting and for an additional period, as determined by the board, sufficient to assure that all payments are made. In the event of a dispute over the amount owed, the dispute shall be resolved through the grievance procedures set forth in the labor agreement of the union representing the class of employees affected. For the purposes of this subdivision, an insured certificate of deposit payable to the Treasurer of the State of California shall be considered equivalent to a surety bond and shall be acceptable to the board upon such terms and conditions as it may prescribe. Interest paid to the state on the certificate of deposit shall be refunded to the applicant. This subdivision does not apply to any person or association licensed to operate a horse race meeting prior to January 1, 2001, which has conducted a race meeting in each of the immediate three previous consecutive calendar years.

STAFF ANALYSIS

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD REGARDING THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO CHRB RULE 1433, APPLICATION FOR LICENSE TO CONDUCT A HORSE RACING MEETING, TO: 1) DELETE SUBSECTION 1433(B) WHICH REQUIRES RACING ASSOCIATIONS RUNNING FOUR WEEKS OR MORE OF CONTINUOUS THOROUGHBRED RACING IN A CALENDAR YEAR TO INSTALL A POLYMER SYNTHETIC TYPE RACING SURFACE; 2) REVISE THE APPLICATION TO INCLUDE THE APPLICANT'S PRIOR TWO YEAR'S STAKE SCHEDULES; 3) ADD THE TAKEOUT RATE ON THE PROPOSED PARI-MUTUEL WAGERING SCHEDULE; 4) COLLECT THE NAME OF THE RACING VETERINARIAN, THE HOURS THE RACING VETERINARIAN WILL BE ONSITE DURING MORNING WORKOUTS, TRAINING AND DURING RACING HOURS.

Regular Board Meeting
March 21, 2014

ISSUE

Board Rule 1433 was last amended in 2011. In the intervening period of time, the Board has identified several items that it would like to see included, or expanded upon, in the forms CHRB-17, Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting, and CHRB-18, Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of a California Fair. In addition, Del Mar has announced plans to replace its synthetic track with a dirt track in time for its 2015 summer season. To accomplish this, Del Mar would have to request an exemption to subsection 1433(b), which requires specified tracks to install synthetic racing surfaces. Del Mar is the last Southern California track with a synthetic race surface; only Golden Gate Fields in Northern California has such a surface. Given these circumstances, it has been determined that Rule 1433 should be amended to delete subsection 1433(b). The amendment would remove the requirement, and allow racing associations to install either type of racing surface without having to seek Board approval.

ANALYSIS

Proposed changes to form CHRB-17 include:

Section 3, D1, Racing Program: This section has been modified to capture information regarding stakes races for the past two race meetings. This will provide a tracking mechanism to ensure the quality of California stakes races is sustained.

Section 3, D2, Racing Program: This section has been modified to capture information regarding stakes races that have been altered, added, or are new for the current race meeting and details regarding any alterations to the identified stakes races.

Section 3, D3, Racing Program: This section has been modified to capture information regarding stakes races that have been dropped or deleted and the reason for the change.

Section 9, A, Equine Emergency Services: This is a new subsection which requires the applicant association to identify the name and emergency phone number for the racing veterinarian onsite during training hours, workouts and during racing. Capturing the name and hours of the onsite veterinarian will provide staff with information regarding who will be available to treat a horse during training hours, workouts and during racing for the association and auxiliary sites.

Section 9, A1, Equine Emergency Services: This new subsection requires the applicant to attach a copy of the schedule listing the dates and times that the racing veterinarian will be available onsite during workouts and during racing.

Section 10, B, Pari-Mutuel Wagering Program: This section has been modified to capture information on any changes from the previous year for the pari-mutuel program. Capturing information on the prior year pari-mutuel changes will allow the Board to make a comparison from year to year.

Section 10, G, Pari-Mutuel Wagering Program: This section has been modified to capture the takeout percentage for each type of wager listed on the race meet application and require the applicant to publish the takeout information in the official program. The takeout percentage will provide patrons with more information to assist them when making a decision on which wager they will make.

Proposed changes to form CHRB-18 include:

Section 3, E1, Racing Program: This section has been modified to capture information regarding stakes races for the past two race meetings. This will provide a tracking mechanism to ensure the quality of California stakes races is sustained.

Section 3, E2, Racing Program: This section has been modified to capture information regarding stakes races that have been altered, added, or is new for the current race meeting and details regarding any alterations to the identified stakes races.

Section 3, E3, Racing Program: This section has been modified to capture information regarding stakes races that have been dropped or deleted and the reason for the change.

Section 9, A, Equine Emergency Services: This is a new subsection which requires the applicant association to identify the name and emergency phone number for the racing veterinarian onsite during training hours, workouts and during racing. Capturing the name and hours of the onsite veterinarian will provide staff with information regarding who will be available to treat a horse during training hours, workouts and during racing for the association and auxiliary sites.

Section 9, A1, Equine Emergency Services: This new subsection requires the applicant to attach a copy of the schedule listing the dates and times that the racing veterinarian will be available onsite during workouts and during racing.

Section 10, C, Pari-Mutuel Wagering Program: This section has been modified to capture information on any changes from the previous year for the pari-mutuel program. Capturing information on the prior year pari-mutuel changes will allow the Board to make a comparison from year to year.

Section 10, H, Pari-Mutuel Wagering Program: This section has been modified to capture the takeout percentage for each type of wager listed on the race meet application and require the applicant to publish the takeout information in the official program. The takeout percentage will provide patrons with more information to assist them when making a decision on which wager they will make.

All other changes to the forms CHRB-17 and CHRB-18 are for the purpose of clarification, consistency, renumbering and grammar.

The proposed amendment to Rule 1433 subsection (b) would remove the requirement for a polymer synthetic racing surface at all facilities that conduct continuous Thoroughbred horse racing for four weeks or more in a calendar year. The amendment would allow racing associations to install either type of racing surface without having to seek Board approval.

BACKGROUND

Business and Professions Code section 19440 provides that the Board shall have all powers necessary and proper to enable it to carry out fully and effectually the purpose of this chapter. Responsibilities of the Board shall include, but are not limited to, adopting rules and regulations for the protection of the public and the control of horse racing and pari-mutual wagering. Business and Professions Code section 19480 states the Board may issue to any person who makes application therefore in writing, who has complied with the provisions of this chapter, and who makes the deposit to secure payment of the license fee imposed by this article, a license to conduct a horse racing meeting in accordance with this chapter at the track specified in the application; provided, the Board determines that the issuance thereof will be in the public interest and will subserve the purposes of this chapter. Rule 1433, Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting, provides that every association or fair that intends to conduct a horse racing meeting must file a completed application with the Board at least 90 days prior to its proposed meeting. The forms CHRB-17, Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting, and CHRB-18, Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of a California Fair, are incorporated by reference in Rule 1433; therefore, any revisions to the applications would necessitate an amendment to the rule.

At the May 25, 2006 Regular Board Meeting an amendment to Rule 1433 that would require certain thoroughbred tracks to install a polymer synthetic-type surface was adopted. The proposed amendment became effective January 2007. To date, only Del Mar Thoroughbred Club in Southern California and Golden Gate Fields in Northern California have synthetic racing surfaces. The Los Angeles Turf Club obtained an exemption from the requirements of subsection 1433(b) in 2010, and the Hollywood Park Race Track, which had a synthetic racing surface, closed permanently in December 2013.

RECOMMENDATION

This item is presented for Board discussion and action.

CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
 TITLE 4. CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS
 ARTICLE 3. RACING ASSOCIATION
 PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF
 RULE 1433, APPLICATION FOR LICENSE TO
 CONDUCT A HORSE RACING MEETING

Regular Board Meeting
 March 21, 2014

1433. Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting.

(a) Unless the Board requires an earlier filing, at least 90 days before the time allocated by the Board for a race meeting to start, the association shall file with the Board an Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting, CHRB-17 (Rev. ~~7/11~~ 3/14), which is hereby incorporated by reference. Note: CHRB-17 incorporates by reference, the Personal History Record, CHRB-25A (Rev. 11/08). A California fair shall file with the Board an Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of a California Fair, CHRB-18 (Rev. ~~7/11~~ 3/14), which is hereby incorporated by reference. Copies of the CHRB-17 and CHRB-18 may be obtained at the California Horse Racing Board headquarters office.

~~(b) No racing association that operates four weeks or more of continuous Thoroughbred racing in a calendar year shall be licensed to conduct a horse racing meeting at a facility that has not installed a polymer synthetic type racing surface.~~

Authority: Sections 19420 and 19440,
 Business and Professions Code.

Reference: Section 19480, 19481 and 19562,
 Business and Professions Code.

Application is hereby made to the California Horse Racing Board (CHRB) for a license to conduct a horse racing meeting in accordance with the California Business and Professions Code, Chapter 4, Division 8, Horse Racing Law, and the California Code of Regulations, Title 4, Division 4, CHRB Rules and Regulations.

1. APPLICANT ASSOCIATION

- A. Name, mailing address, telephone, fax numbers, and the email address for associations contact person:
- B. Breed of horse: TB QH H
- C. Racetrack name:
- D. Attach a certified check payable to the Treasurer of the State of California in the amount of \$10,000 as deposit for license fees pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19490.
- E. Was the association licensed to operate a race meeting prior to January 1, 2001?
Yes No
If no, attach a surety bond in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: No application for a license to conduct a race meeting shall be granted unless the applicant has deposited with the Board a surety bond in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000), or a greater amount, as determined by the board, which is sufficient to ensure payment of employee wages and benefits including, but not limited to, health, welfare, and pension plans. The surety bond shall be maintained during the period of the meeting and for an additional period, as determined by the board, sufficient to assure that all payments are made. This subdivision does not apply to any person or association licensed to operate a horse race meeting prior to January 1, 2001, which has conducted a race meeting in each of the immediate three previous consecutive calendar years. The \$100,000 surety bond amount maybe increased to an amount determined by the Board at the time the application is scheduled for hearing pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19464(b).

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Application must be filed not later than 90 days before the scheduled start date for the proposed meeting pursuant to CHRB Rule 1433.

2. DATES OF RACE MEETING

- A. Inclusive dates allocated for the entire meeting:
- B. Actual dates racing will be held:
- C. Total number of days or nights of racing:
- D. Days or nights of the week races will be held:
 Wed - Sun Tues - Sat Other (specify)
- E. Number of days or nights of racing per week:

CHRB CERTIFICATION	
Application received:	Hearing date:
Deposit received:	Approved date:
Reviewed:	License number:

3. RACING PROGRAM

- A. Total number of races:
- B. Number of races for each day or night:
- C. Total number of stakes races:
- D. Attach a listing of all stakes races and indicate the date to be run and the added money or guaranteed purse for each. Note the races that are designated for California-bred horses.

1. Attach a listing of all stakes races for the past two race meetings. The information provided must be for the same timeframe in which the association is applying. Include the date the stakes races were run, and the added money or guaranteed purse for each. Note the races that were designated for California-bred horses.

2. Identify the stakes races listed under item D that have been altered, added, or are new for the current race meeting. Provide details regarding any alterations to the identified stakes races. (e.g., changes in the age, sex, eligibility, purse or substantial calendar changes).

3. Identify the stakes races listed under item D1 that have been dropped or deleted, and the reasons the stakes were dropped or deleted.

- E. Will provisions be made for owners and trainers to use their own registered colors?
 Yes No If no, what racing colors are to be used:
- F. List all post times for the daily racing program:

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Every licensee conducting a horse racing meeting shall each racing day provide for the running of at least one race limited to California-bred horses, to be known as the "California-bred race" pursuant to CHRB Rule 1813. For thoroughbred and quarter horse meetings, the total amount distributed for California-bred stakes races from the purse account, including overnight stakes, shall not be less than 10% of the total amount distributed for all stakes races pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19568(b).

4. RACING ASSOCIATION

- A. Association is a: Corporation (complete subsection C)
 LLC (complete subsection D)
 Other (specify, and complete subsection E)
- B. Complete the applicable subsection and attached Addendum, Background Information and Ownership.
- C. CORPORATION
 - 1. Registered name of the corporation:
 - 2. State where incorporated:
 - 3. Registry or file number for the corporation:
 - 4. Names of all officers and directors, titles, and the number of shares of the corporation held by each:

5. Names (true names) of all persons, other than the officers and directors listed above, that hold 5% or more of the outstanding shares in the corporation and the number of shares held by each:
6. Number of outstanding shares in the corporation:
7. Are the shares listed for public trading? Yes No
If yes, on what exchange and how is the stock listed:
8. Name of the custodian of the list of shareholders and/or the transfer agent for the share holdings of the corporation:
9. If more than 50% of the shares are held by a parent corporation or are paired with any other corporation or entity, give the name of the parent and/or paired corporation or entity:

A. Is parent and/or paired corporation or entity a publically traded or privately held company that guarantees the obligation of the applicant? If no, proceed to section F. If yes, answer questions 10-17. Yes No

10. Registered name of the corporation:
11. State where incorporated:
12. Registry or file number for the corporation:
13. Names of all officers and directors, titles, and the number of shares of the corporation held by each:
14. Names (true names) of all persons, other than the officers and directors listed above, that hold 5% or more of the outstanding shares in the corporation and the number of shares held by each:
15. Number of outstanding shares in the corporation:
16. Are the shares listed for public trading? Yes No
If yes, on what exchange and how is the stock listed:
17. Name of the custodian of the list of shareholders and/or the transfer agent for the share holdings of the corporation:

D. LLC

1. Registered name of the LLC:
2. State where articles of organization are filed:
3. Registry or file number for the LLC:
4. Attach a list of the names of all members (including individuals (true names), corporations, other LLCs and or foreign entities), titles, and the number of shares of the LLC held by each:
5. Are the shares listed for public trading? Yes No
If yes, on what exchange and how the stock is listed:
6. If more than 50% of the shares are held by a parent corporation or are paired with any other corporation or entity, give the name of the parent and/or paired corporation or entity:
 - A. Is parent and/or paired entity either a publically traded or privately held company that guarantees the obligation of the applicant? If no, proceed to section F. If yes, answer questions 7-12. Yes No
7. Registered name of the LLC/Corporation:
8. State where articles of organization are filed:
9. Registry or file number for the LLC:
10. Attach a list of the names (true names) of all members (members may include individuals, corporations other LLCs and foreign entities), titles, and the number of shares of the LLC held by each:

11. Are the shares listed for public trading? Yes No
12. If yes, on what exchange and how the stock is listed:

E. OTHER

1. Name(s) of partners/sole proprietor:
2. If a partnership, attach partnership agreement.

F. FINANCIAL INFORMATION *

1. Attach the most recent audited annual financial statement or financial report for the applicant. The financial statement or financial report shall include all relevant financial information specific to the applicant including:
 - Statement of Financial Position: also referred to as a balance sheet. Statement should report on applicant's assets, liabilities, contingent liabilities and ownership equity as of the date of the prepared statement.
 - Statement of Comprehensive Income: also referred to as Profit and Loss ("P&L") Statement. Statement should include report on applicant's income, expenses, and profits.
 - Profit and Loss statement for prior two years race meeting and Profit and Loss statement for projected year race meeting.
 - Statement of Changes in Equity: to include the changes of the applicants' equity through the reporting period.
 - Statement of Cash Flows: to include a report of the applicant's cash flow activity, particularly its operating investing and financing activities during the reporting period.
 - Copy of a report made during the preceding 12 months to shareholders in the corporation and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission and/or the California Corporations Commission.

*NOTICE TO APPLICANT: The financial information provided pursuant to subsection (F) above is exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254(k) and non-disclosable to the public.

G. MANAGEMENT AND STAFF

1. Name and title of the managing officer and/or general manager of the association and the name and title of all department managers and staff, other than those listed in 13B, who will be listed in the official program:
2. Name and title of the person(s) authorized to receive notices on behalf of the association and the mailing and email address of such person(s).

5. TAKE OUT PERCENTAGE

1. If this is a thoroughbred race meeting, will the percentage deducted for any type of wager be adjusted pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19601.01? If no, proceed to subsection 6. If yes, identify the wager and the proposed takeout percentage.

Yes No

Wager(s) to be adjusted: _____ Proposed percentage: _____%

- A. Attach copy of written notice requesting the proposed takeout adjustment, the proposed percentage and the wager(s) affected. The notice must include the written agreement of the thoroughbred association and the horsemen's organization for the meeting of the thoroughbred association accepting the wager.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19601.01 notwithstanding any other provision of law, a thoroughbred association or fair, upon the filing of a written notice with, and approval by, the board specifying the percentage to be deducted, may deduct from the total amount handled in the pari-mutuel pool for any type of wager an amount of not less than 10 percent nor more than 25 percent. The written notice shall include the written agreement of the thoroughbred association or fair and the horsemen's organization for the meeting of the thoroughbred association or fair accepting the wager. The established percentage to be deducted shall remain in effect until the filing of a subsequent notice with, and approval by, the board, unless otherwise specified in the notice.

6. HANDLE HISTORY

- 1. Complete the table below providing the last five years of handle and attendance for your racing association. If your association has been operating for fewer than five years, provide information for the period of time it has been in operation. If the racing association has changed ownership include the handle information for the previous racing association.

Year	Handle	Attendance

7. PURSE PROGRAM (Excluding supplements, nominations, sponsorships and starter fees.):

A. Purse distribution:

- 1. All races other than stakes:

Current meet estimate:

Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse (7 A1 ÷ number of days):

Current meet estimate:

Prior meet actual:

- 2. Overnight stakes:

Current meet estimate:

Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse (7 A2 ÷ number of days):

Current meet estimate:

Prior meet actual:

- 3. Non-overnight stakes:
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse (7 A3 ÷ number of days):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

- 4. Total Purses: (7A1+7A2+ 7A3)
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

B. California-bred Stakes Races:

- 1. Percentage of the purse distribution for all stakes races that will be distributed for California-bred stakes races:
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse (7 B1 ÷ number of days):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

- C. Funds to be generated for all California-bred incentive awards (including breeder awards and owners premiums):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

- D. Payment to each recognized horsemen's organization contracting with the association and the name(s) of the organization(s):

Recognized Horsemen's Organization	
Current meet estimate:	Prior meet actual:
Total	Total

- E. Amount from all sources to be distributed in the form of purses or other benefits to horsemen (7 A+7 C+7 D):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse (7 E ÷ number of days):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

- F. Purse funds to be generated from on-track handle and intrastate off-track handle (excluding carry-overs from prior race meet(s):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse ($7 F \div$ number of days):

Current meet estimate:

Prior meet actual:

G. Purse funds to be generated from interstate handle:

Current meet estimate:

Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse ($7 G \div$ number of days):

Current meet estimate:

Prior meet actual:

H. Bank and account number for the Paymaster of Purses' purse account:

I. Name, address, email and telephone number of the pari-mutuel audit firm engaged for the meeting:

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: All funds generated and retained from on-track pari-mutuel handle which are obligated by law for distribution in the form of purses, breeders' awards or other benefits to horsemen, **shall not** be deemed as income to the association; **shall not** be transferred to a parent corporation outside the State of California; and **shall**, within 3 calendar days following receipt, be deposited in a segregated and separate liability account in a depository approved by the CHRB and shall be at the disposition of the Paymaster of Purses, who shall pay or distribute such funds to the persons entitled thereto. All funds generated from off-track simulcast wagering, interstate wagering, and out-of-state wagering which are obligated by law for distribution in the form of purses and breeders' awards, shall also be deposited within 3 calendar days following receipt, into such liability account. In the event the association is obligated to the payment of purses prior to those obligated amounts being retained from pari-mutuel wagering for such purpose, or as a result of overpayment of earned purses at the conclusion of the meeting, the association shall transfer from its own funds such amounts as are necessary for the Paymaster of Purses to distribute to the horse owners statutorily or contractually entitled thereto. The association is entitled to recover such transferred funds from the Paymaster of Purses' account; and if insufficient funds remain in the account at the conclusion of the meeting, the association is entitled to carry forward the deficit to its next succeeding meeting as provided by Business and Professions Code section 19615(c) or (d). In the event of **underpayment** of purses which results in a balance remaining in the Paymaster of Purses' account at the conclusion of the meeting after distribution of amounts due to horsemen and breeders and horsemen's organizations, the association may carry forward the surplus amount to its next succeeding meeting; provided, however, that the amount so retained does not exceed an amount equivalent to the average daily distribution of purses and breeders' awards during the meeting. All amounts in excess shall be distributed retroactively and proportionally in the form of purses and breeders' awards to the horse owners and breeders having earned purses or awards during the conduct of the meeting.

8. STABLE ACCOMMODATIONS

- A. Number of usable stalls available for racehorses at the track where the meeting is held:
- B. Minimum number of stalls believed necessary for the meeting:
- C. Total number of usable stalls to be made available off-site at approved auxiliary stabling areas or approved training centers:
- D. Name and location of each off-site auxiliary stabling area and the number of stalls to be maintained at each site:
- E. Attach each contract or agreement between the association and the person(s) furnishing off-site stabling accommodations for eligible racehorses that cannot be provided stabling on-site.

Complete subsections F through H if the association will request reimbursement for off-site stabling as provided by Business and Professions Code sections 19607, 19607.1, 19607.2, and 19607.3; otherwise, proceed to section 9.

- F. Total number of usable stalls made available on-site for the **1986** meeting, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19535(c):
- G. Estimated cost to provide off-site stalls for this meeting. Show cost per day per stall:
- H. Estimated cost to provide vanning from off-site stalls for this meeting. Show fees to be paid for vanning per-horse:

9. EQUINE EMERGENCY SERVICES

- A. Name and emergency telephone number of the racing veterinarian onsite during training hours, workouts and during racing for the association and auxiliary sites:
 - 1. Attach a schedule listing the dates and times that the racing veterinarian will be available onsite during training hours, workouts and during racing for the association and auxiliary sites.

10 9. PARI-MUTUEL WAGERING PROGRAM

- A. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19599, and with the approval of the CHRB, associations may elect to offer wagering programs using CHRB Pari-mutuel Rules, the Association of Racing Commissioners International (RCI) Uniform Rules of Racing, Chapter 9, Pari-mutuel Wagering, or a combination of both. Please complete the following schedule for the types of wagering other than WPS and the minimum wager amount for each:

Use DD for daily double, E for exacta (special quinella), PK3 for pick three, PK4 for select four, PNP for pick (n) pool, PPN for place pick (n), Q for quinella, SF for superfecta, TRI for trifecta, and US for unlimited sweepstakes (pick 9).

	TYPE OF WAGERS	APPLICABLE RULES
Example Race:	\$1 E; \$1 Double	CHRB #1959; RCI #VE
Race #1		
Race #2		
Race #3		
Race #4		
Race #5		
Race #6		
Race #7		
Race #8		
Race #9		
Race #10		
Race #11		
Race #12		
Race #13		

B. Identify any changes from the previous year for the pari-mutuel wagering program noted in 10A.

C. B. Maximum carryover pool to be allowed to accumulate before its distribution OR the date(s) designated for distribution of the carryover pool:

D. C. List any options requested with regard to exotic wagering:

E. D. Will "advance" or "early bird" wagering be offered? Yes No
If yes, when will such wagering begin? Specify days and time for "early bird" wagering:

F. E. Type(s) of pari-mutuel or totalizator equipment to be used by the association and the simulcast organization, name of the person(s) supplying equipment, and expiration date of the service contract:

G. List below the takeout percentage for each type of wager identified in 10A and publish the takeout rate percentage for each type of wager in the associations daily racing program:

TAKEOUT PERCENTAGE
(Example) PNP5-14%

- Race #1
- Race #2
- Race #3
- Race #4
- Race #5
- Race #6
- Race #7
- Race #8
- Race #9
- Race #10
- Race #11
- Race #12
- Race #13

11.10. ADVANCE DEPOSIT WAGERING (ADW)

A. Identify the ADW provider(s) to be used by the association for this race meeting:

B. Attach a copy of the agreement/contracts with each ADW provider to be used for this race meeting.

C. Have the contract/agreements been approved by the respective horsemen's groups?

Yes No

If yes, attach a copy of the approval.
If no, explain the status of the approval.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19604, ADW providers may accept wagers on races conducted in California from a resident of California if : 1) the ADW provider is licensed by the Board; 2) a written agreement allowing those wagers exists with the racing association or fair conducting the races on which the wagers are made; 3) the agreement shall have been approved in writing by the horsemen's organization responsible for negotiating purse agreements for the breed on which the wagers are made. ADW provides may accept wagers on races conducted outside of California from a resident of California if: 1) the ADW provider is licensed by the Board; 2) there is a hub agreement between the ADW provider and one or both of (i) one or more racing associations or fairs that together conduct no fewer than five weeks of live racing on the breed on which wagering is conducted during the calendar year during which the wagers are placed and (ii) the horsemen's organization responsible for negotiating purse agreements for the breed on which wagering is conducted.

12.44. SIMULCAST WAGERING PROGRAM

- A. Simulcast organization engaged by the association to conduct simulcast wagering:
- B. Attach the agreement between the association and simulcast organization permitting the organization to use the association's live audiovisual signal for wagering purposes and providing access to its totalizator for the purpose of combining on-track and off-track pari-mutuel pools.
- C. California simulcast facilities the association proposes to offer its live audiovisual signal:
- D. Out-of-state wagering systems the association proposes to offer its live audiovisual signal:
- E. Out-of-state wagering systems that will combine their pari-mutuel pools with those of the association:
- F. California mini-simulcast facilities the association proposes to offer its live audiovisual signal:
- G. For **THOROUGHBRED** racing associations, list the host track from which the association proposes to import out-of-state and/or out-of-country thoroughbred races. Include the dates imported races will be held, and whether or not a full card will be accepted. If the full card will not be imported, state "selected feature and/or stakes races":

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Business and Professions Code section 19596.2(a) stipulates that on days when live thoroughbred or fair racing is being conducted in the state, the number of thoroughbred races which may be imported by an association or fair during the calendar period the association or fair is conducting its racing meeting cannot exceed a combined daily total of 50 imported thoroughbred races statewide. The limitation of 50 imported thoroughbred races per day statewide does not apply to those races specified in Business and Professions Code section 19596.2(a)(1), (2), (3) and (4).

THOROUGHBRED SIMULCAST RACES TO BE IMPORTED

Name of Host Track	Race Dates	Full Card or Selected Feature and/or Stakes Races
--------------------	------------	---

- H. For **QUARTER HORSE** racing associations, list the host track from which the association proposes to import out-of-state and/or out-of-country quarter horse races. Include the dates imported races will be held, and whether or not a full card will be accepted. If the full card will not be imported, state "selected feature and/or stakes races":

QUARTER HORSE SIMULCAST RACES TO BE IMPORTED

Name of Host Track	Race Dates	Full Card or Selected Feature and/or Stakes Races
--------------------	------------	---

- I. For **STANDARD BRED** racing associations, list the host tracks from which the association proposes to import out-of-state and/or out-of-country harness races. Include the dates imported races will be held, and whether or not a full card will be accepted. If the full card will not be imported, state "selected feature and/or stakes races":

HARNESS SIMULCAST RACES TO BE IMPORTED

Name of Host Track Race Dates Full Card or Selected Feature and/or Stakes Races

- J. For ALL racing associations, list imported simulcast races the association plans to receive which use breeds other than the breed of the majority of horses racing at its live horse racing meeting. Include the name of the host track, the dates imported races will be held, and how many races will be imported:

OTHER BREED SIMULCAST RACES TO BE IMPORTED

Name of Host Track Breed of Horse Race Dates Number of Races to be Imported

- K. For ALL racing associations, if any out-of-state or out-of-country races will commence outside of the time constraints set forth in Business and Professions Code sections 19596.2 and 19596.3, attach a copy showing the agreement by the appropriate racing association(s).

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: All interstate wagering to be conducted by an association is subject to the provisions of Title 15, United States Codes, which require specific written approval of the CHRB and of the racing commission having jurisdiction in the out-of-state venue. All international wagering to be conducted by an association is subject to the provision of Business and Professions Code sections 19596, 19596.1, 19596.2, 19596.3, 19601, 19602, and 19616.1, and will require specific written approval of the CHRB.

Every association shall pay over to the simulcast organization within 3 calendar days following the closing of wagering for any day or night racing program, or upon receipt of the proceeds, such amounts that are retained from off-track simulcast wagering, interstate and out-of-state wagering, and which are obligated by statute for guest commissions, simulcast operator's expenses and promotions, equine research, local government in-lieu taxes, and stabling and vanning deductions. Every association shall pay to its Paymaster of Purses' account within 3 calendar days following the closing of wagering for each day or night racing program, or upon receipt of the proceeds, such amounts that are retained or obligated from off-track simulcast wagering, interstate and out-of-state wagering for purses, breeders' awards or other benefits to horsemen. (See Notice to Applicant, Section 7.)

13 12. CHARITY RACING DAYS

- A. Name and address of the distributing agent (charity foundation) for the net proceeds from charity racing days held by the association:
- B. Names and addresses of the trustees or directors of the distributing agent:
- C. Dates the association will conduct races as charity racing days OR:
- D. Will the association pay the distributing agent an amount equal to the maximum required under B&P Code Section 19550(b)? Yes

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Net proceeds from charity racing days shall be paid to the designated and approved distributing agent within 180 days following the conclusion of the association's race meeting in accordance with the provisions of Business and Professions Code section 19555. Thereafter, the distributing agent shall distribute not less than 90% of the aggregate proceeds from such charity racing days within 12 calendar months after the last day of the meeting during which the charity racing days were conducted and shall distribute the remaining funds as soon thereafter as is practicable. At least 50% of the distribution shall be made to charities associated with the horse racing industry in accordance with the provisions of Business and Professions Code section 19556(b) and (c).

14 13. RACING OFFICIALS, OFFICIALS, AND OFFICIATING EQUIPMENT

- A. Racing officials nominated:
Association Veterinarian(s)
Clerk of Scales
Clerk of the Course
Film Specialist
Horse Identifier
Horseshoe Inspector
Paddock Judge
Patrol Judges
Placing Judges
Starter
Timer
- B. Management officials in the racing department:
Director of Racing
Racing Secretary
Assistant Racing Secretary
Paymaster of Purses
Others (identify by name and title)
- C. Name, address, email and telephone number of the reporter employed to record and prepare transcripts of hearings conducted by the stewards:
- D. Photographic device to be used for photographing the finish of all races, name of the person supplying the service, and expiration date of the service contract:
- E. Photo patrol video equipment to be used to record all races, name of the person supplying the service, and expiration date of the service contract. Specify the number and location of cameras for dirt and turf tracks.
- F. Type of electronic timing device to be used for the timing of all races, name of the person supplying the service, and expiration date of the service contract:

15 14. SECURITY CONTROLS

- A. Name and title of the person responsible for security controls on the premises. Include an organizational chart of the security department and a list of the names of security personnel and contact telephone numbers.
- B. Estimated number of security guards, gatemen, patrolmen or others to be engaged in security tasks on a regular full-time basis:
1. Attach a written plan for enhanced security for graded stakes races, and races of \$100,000 or more, to include the number of security guards in the restricted areas during a 24-hour period and a plan for detention stalls.

2. Detention Stalls:
 - A. Attach a plan for use of graded stakes or overnight races.
 - B. Number of security guards in the detention stall area during a 24-hour period.
 - C. Describe number and location of surveillance cameras in detention stall area.

3. TCO2 Testing:
 - A. Number of races to be tested, and number of horses entered in each race to be tested.
 - B. Plan for enhanced surveillance for trainers with high-test results.
 - C. Plan for detention stalls for repeat offenders.
 - D. Number of security personnel assigned to the TCO2 program.

C. Describe the electronic security system:

1. Location and number of video surveillance cameras for the detention stall and stable gate.

D. For night racing associations. Describe emergency lighting system:

16 15. EMERGENCY SERVICES

A. Name, address and emergency telephone number of the ambulance service to be used during workouts and during racing the running of the races:

1. Attach a certification from the ambulance service(s) listed in 16 15A, certifying that the paramedic staff are licensed with the California Emergency Medical Services Authority.

B. Name, address and emergency telephone number of the ambulance service to be used during workouts at auxiliary sites:

1. Attach a certification from the ambulance service (s) listed in 16 15B, certifying that the paramedic staff are licensed with the California Emergency Medical Services Authority.

C. Describe the on-track first aid facility, including equipment and medical staffing:

D. Name and emergency telephone number of the licensed physician on duty during the race meeting: **(If quarter horse racing association sees D (1) :**

1. Name address and emergency telephone number of hospital located within 1.5 miles of the racetrack, which whom an agreement is in place to provide emergency medical services, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19481.3(a):

E. Name, address and emergency telephone number of the hospital to be used for admittance and treatment of emergency injuries in the event of an on-track injury to a jockey:

- F. Attach, in English and Spanish, the emergency medical plan procedures that will be posted in each jockey's room to be used in the event of an on-track injury to a jockey:
- G. Name of health and safety manager and assistant manager responsible for compliance of health and safety provisions pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19481.3(d):
- H. Attach a fire clearance from the fire authority having jurisdiction over the premises.
- I. Name of the workers' compensation insurance carrier for the association and the number of the insurance policy (if self-insured, provide details):
- J. Attach a Certificate of Insurance for workers' compensation coverage. The CHRB is to be named as a certificate holder and given not less than 10 days' notice of any cancellation or termination of insurance that secures the liability of the association for payment of workers' compensation.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Every licensee conducting a horse racing meeting shall pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19481.3 maintain, staff, and supply an on-track first aid facility, that may be either permanent or mobile, and which shall be staffed and equipped as directed by the board. A qualified and licensed physician shall be on duty at all times during live racing, except that this provision shall not apply to any quarter horse racing at the racetrack if there is a hospital situated no more than 1.5 miles from the racetrack and the racetrack has an agreement with the hospital to provide emergency medical services to jockeys and riders. An ambulance licensed to operate on public highways provided by the track shall be available at all times during live racing and shall be staffed by two emergency medical technicians licensed in accordance with Division 2.5 (commencing with Section 1797) of the Health and Safety Code, one of whom may be an Emergency Medical Technician Paramedic, as defined in section 1797.84 of the Health and Safety Code. (b) Each racing association and racing fair shall adopt and maintain an emergency medical plan detailing the procedures that shall be used in the event of an on-track injury. The plan shall be posted in each jockey room in English and Spanish. (c) Prior to every race meeting, the racing association or racing fair shall contact area hospitals to coordinate procedures for the rapid admittance and treatment of emergency injuries. (d) Each racing association or racing fair shall designate a health and safety manager and assistant manager, who shall be responsible for compliance with the provisions of this section and one of whom shall be on duty at all times when live racing is conducted. The health and safety manager may, at the discretion of the racing association, be the person designated to perform risk management duties on behalf of the association.

17 16. CONCESSIONAIRES AND SERVICE CONTRACTORS

Names and addresses of all persons to whom a concession or service contract has been given, **other than those already identified**, and the goods and/or services to be provided by each:

Does the association provide its own concessions? Yes No

18 17. ON-TRACK ATTENDANCE/FAN DEVELOPMENT

- A. Attach a copy of the promotional and marketing plans: for the race meeting:
- B. Promotional/ Marketing budget for this race meeting:
 Promotional/Marketing budget for prior race meeting:
- C. Number of hosts and hostesses employed for meeting:
- D. Describe facilities set aside for new fans:

E. Describe any improvements to the physical facility in advance of the meeting that directly benefit:

- 1. Horsemen
- 2. Fans
- 3. Facilities in the restricted areas

19 18. SCHEDULE OF CHARGES

A. Proposed charges, note any changes from the previous year:

- Admission (general)
- Admission (clubhouse)
- Reserved seating (general)
- Reserved seating (clubhouse)
- Parking (general)
- Parking (preferred)
- Parking (valet)
- Programs (on-track)
- (off-track)

B. Describe any "Season Boxes" and "Turf Club Membership" fees:

C. Describe any "package" plans such as combined parking, admission and program:

20 19. JOCKEYS/DRIVERS' QUARTERS

A. Check the applicable amenities available in the jockeys/drivers' quarters:

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Corners (lockers and cubicles) | How many | <input type="text"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Showers | <input type="checkbox"/> Steam room, sauna or steam cabinets | <input type="checkbox"/> Lounge area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Masseur | <input type="checkbox"/> Food/beverage service | <input type="checkbox"/> Certified platform scale |

B. Describe the quarters to be used for female jockeys/drivers:

21 20. BACKSTRETCH EMPLOYEE HOUSING

A. Inspection of backstretch housing was completed by (name) _____ on (date)_____.

B. Number of rooms used for housing on the backstretch of the racetrack:

C. Number of restrooms available on the backstretch of the racetrack:

D. Estimated ratio of restroom facilities to the number of backstretch personnel:

22 21. TRACK SAFETY

A. Total distance of the racecourse - measured from the finish line counterclockwise (3' from the inner railing) back to the finish line: feet.

- B. Describe the type of track surface at the facility, including the specific track surface composition:
- C. The percent of cross slope in the straight-aways is:
The percent of cross slope in the center of the turns is:
- D. Describe the type(s) of materials used for the inner and outer railings of the race course, the type of inner railing supports (i.e., metal gooseneck, wood 4" x 4" uprights, offset wood 4" x 4" supports, etc.), the coverings, if any, on the top of the inner railing, and the approximate height of the top of the inner railing from the level of the race course.
- E. Name of the person responsible for supervision of the maintenance of the racetrack safety standards pursuant to CHRB Rule 1474:
- F. Attach a Track Safety Maintenance Program pursuant to CHRB Rule 1474.
- G. If the association is requesting approval to implement alternate methodologies to the provisions of Article 3.5, Track Safety Standards, pursuant to CHRB Rule 1471, attach a Certificate of Insurance for liability insurance which will be in force for the duration of the meeting specified in Section 2. The CHRB is to be named as a certificate holder and given not less than 10 days' notice of any cancellation or termination of liability insurance. Additionally, the CHRB must be listed as additionally insured on the liability policy at a minimum amount of \$3 million per incident. The liability insurance certificate must be on file in the CHRB headquarters office prior to the conduct of any racing.

23 22. DECLARATIONS

- A. All labor and lease agreements and concession and service contracts necessary to conduct the entire meeting have been finalized except as follows (if no exceptions, so state):
- B. Attach each horsemen's agreement pursuant to CHRB Rule 2044.
- C. Attach a lease agreement permitting the association to occupy the racing facility during the entire term of the meeting. (In the absence of either a lease agreement or a horsemen's agreement, a request for an extension pursuant to CHRB Rule 1407 shall be made).
- D. All service contractors and concessionaires have valid state, county or city licenses authorizing each to engage in the type of service to be provided and have valid labor agreements, when applicable, which remain in effect for the entire term of the meeting except as follows (if no exceptions, so state):
- E. Absent natural disasters or causes beyond the control of the association, its service contractors, concessionaires or horsemen participating at the meeting, no reasons are believed to exist that may result in a stoppage to racing at the meeting or the withholding of any vital service to the association except as follows (if no exceptions, so state):

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Pursuant to CHRB Rules 1870 and 1871, the CHRB shall be given 15 days' notice in writing of any intention to terminate a horse racing meeting or the engagements or services of any licensee, approved concessionaire, or approved service contractor.

24 23. CERTIFICATION BY APPLICANT

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that I have examined this application, that all of the foregoing statements in this application are true and correct, and that I am authorized by the association to attest to this application on its behalf.

Print Name

Signature

Print Title

Date

ADDENDUM

Background and Ownership Information

FULL DISCLOSURE: By authority of Sections 19440 and 19480 of the California Business and Professions Code, Chapter 4, Division 8, Horse Racing Law, and in order to allow an evaluation of the competency, integrity, and character of potential racetrack operator, contractor, subcontractor and concessionaire licensees of the California Horse Racing Board (CHRB), any applicant for such a license shall comply with the provisions set forth below. Where applicable, supply the requested information and submit with your application documents. (If necessary, attach additional pages showing the corresponding numbers for the questions you are answering.) If a question does not apply to you, so state with "N/A".

NOTE: All information contained in this Addendum may be disclosed pursuant to the California Public Records Act.

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. **PERSONAL INFORMATION** - Application documents must include for each individual who is a director, officer, or partner in the application, or an owner of an interest in the applicant of 5% or more:
1. Full name and any previous names or aliases;
 2. date of birth;
 3. physical description;
 4. business address and telephone number; and
 5. disclosure of employment, education and military history for the past 20 years or since the age of 18.
- B. **PERSONAL HISTORY** - Application documents must include a completed Personal History Record, CHRB-25A, for each individual named in Addendum Section I, A.
- C. **RELATIONSHIP** - The application documents must state, for each individual providing information under Addendum Section I, A, whether the individual is related to a member or an employee of the CHRB. A half-relationship or step-relationship is considered to be a familial relationship.
- D. **CORPORATIONS** - If the applicant is a corporation, the application documents must state:
1. The state in which the applicant is incorporated; and
 2. name and address of the applicant's agent for service of process in California.
- E. **INDICTMENTS OR CONVICTIONS** - If the applicant is a corporation, the application documents must include a statement disclosing whether the corporation is presently or has ever been indicted or convicted of a criminal offense, e.g., felony or misdemeanor.
- F. **PENDING LEGAL PROCEEDINGS** - An applicant for a license to operate a racetrack must describe any pending legal proceedings of \$250,000 or more:
1. To which the applicant, a director, officer, or partner of the applicant, or an individual who owns an interest in the applicant of 5% or more is a party; or
 2. that involves property owned by the applicant, a director, officer, or partner of the applicant, an individual who owns an interest in the applicant of 5% or more, or a related entity identified under Addendum Section I.
 3. Applicant must state the name of the court or agency before which the proceeding is or was pending, the case number, date the proceeding was instituted, and the names of the principal parties to the proceeding.

II. OWNERSHIP

- A. IDENTIFICATION AND LOCATION - The application documents must include:
1. All names used by the applicant; and
 2. name of the agent and the address and telephone number of the office of the applicant for service of process in California.
- B. BUSINESS STRUCTURE - The application documents must describe the applicant's business structure and include an organizational chart.
- C. ORGANIZERS - If the applicant is not an individual and was organized less than five years before the date on which the application documents are submitted to the CHRB, the application documents must state:
1. Name of each individual who was an organizer or promoter of the applicant;
 2. nature and amount of assets, services, or other consideration contributed to the applicant by an organizer or promoter of the applicant; and
 3. nature and amount of anything of value given by the applicant to an organizer or promoter of the applicant.
- D. ORGANIZATIONAL DOCUMENTS
1. If the applicant is a corporation, the application documents must include:
 - a. Statement of when and in what state the corporation was organized;
 - b. certified copy of the articles of incorporation and bylaws of the applicant;
 - c. statement and documentation of whether the corporation has been reorganized or reincorporated during the five-year period preceding the date on which the application documents are submitted to the CHRB; and
 - d. statement and documentation of whether the corporation has filed restated articles of incorporation.
 2. If the applicant is an unincorporated business association, the application documents must include:
 - a. Certified copy of each organizational document for the applicant, including any partnership agreement; and
 - b. description of any oral agreements involving the organization of the partnership.
- E. CAPITOL STOCK
1. If the applicant is authorized to issue capital stock, the application documents must state the classes of stock authorized and the total shares of each class authorized.
 2. For each class of stock, applicant must also state:
 - a. Par value, if any;
 - b. voting rights;
 - c. current rate of dividend; and
 - d. number of shares outstanding and the market value of each share.
 3. Application documents must list the name and address of each person who owns, of record or beneficially, at least 5% of stock. For each person listed under this subsection, the application documents must describe the nature of the person's ownership interest and the person's percentage of the total ownership interest.

4. Application documents must include a certified copy of each voting trust or voting agreement in which at least 5% of the capital stock is held and must state:
 - a. Name and address of each stockholder participating in the trust or agreement;
 - b. class of stock involved; and
 - c. total number of shares held by the trust or agreement.

F. DIRECTORS, OFFICERS, AND PARTNERS

1. If the applicant is not an individual, the application documents must include a list of the individuals who are serving or who are designated to serve, during the first year after the date the application documents are submitted to the CHRB, as a director, officer, or partner of the applicant. The list must state for each individual:
 - a. Name and business address;
 - b. each position or office of the applicant held by the individual;
 - c. principal occupation during the five-year period preceding the date on which the application documents are submitted to the CHRB; and
 - d. nature and extent of any ownership interest in the applicant.
2. Application documents must include a completed Personal History Record, CHRB-25A, for each individual named under Addendum Section II, F, 1.

G. CONTROLLING ENTITY

1. Application documents must state whether another entity exercises or is in a position to exercise control in the management or financial affairs of the applicant. The documents must describe the nature of the relationship between the entity and the applicant and the extent of control exercised by the entity.
2. If a nonindividual entity owns an interest of 5% or more in the applicant, the application documents must include the information required by Addendum Section II, G, 1, as it relates to the nonindividual entity.
3. Application documents must include information required by Addendum Section II, G, 2, for each nonindividual entity identified in the application documents to the extent necessary to determine the identity of each individual who is an indirect holder of an ownership interest in the applicant.

H. OUTSIDE INTERESTS AND LICENSE HISTORY - Application documents must state whether the applicant or a director, officer, or partner of the applicant:

1. Ever held an ownership interest in a licensee of the CHRB; or
2. is currently engaged in the business of racing in another state.

APPLICATION FOR LICENSE TO CONDUCT A HORSE RACING MEETING OF A CALIFORNIA FAIR
CHRB-18 (Rev. 07/11 3/14)

Application is hereby made to the California Horse Racing Board (CHRB) for a license to conduct a horse racing meeting of a California fair as authorized by Article 6.5 of the California Business and Professions Code, Chapter 4, Division 8, Horse Racing Law, and in accordance with applicable provisions and the California Code of Regulations, Title 4, Division 4, CHRB Rules and Regulations.

1. APPLICANT FAIR ASSOCIATION

- A. Name, mailing address, telephone, and fax numbers of fair:
- B. Fair association is a: District Fair County Fair Citrus Fruit Fair
 California Exposition and State Fair Other qualified fair
- C. Provide the name, telephone, and email address for the fair contact person:

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Application must be filed not later than 90 days before the scheduled start date for the proposed meeting pursuant to CHRB Rule 1433.

2. DATES OF RACE MEETING

- A. Inclusive dates allocated for race meeting:
- B. Actual dates racing will be held:
- C. Dates racing will NOT be held:
- D. Total number of racing days:
- E. Days of the week races will be held:
 Wed - Sun Tues - Sat Other (specify)

3. RACING PROGRAM

- A. Total number of races:
- B. Number of races by breed:
 Thoroughbreds Quarter Horses Appaloosas
 Arabians Paints Mules

CHRB CERTIFICATION

Application received:
Reviewed:

Hearing date:
Approved date:
License number:

C. Number of races daily:

	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
Thoroughbred							
Other Breeds							
Total							

D. Total number of stakes races by breed:

<input type="text"/>	Thoroughbreds	<input type="text"/>	Quarter Horses	<input type="text"/>	Appaloosas
<input type="text"/>	Arabians	<input type="text"/>	Paints	<input type="text"/>	Mules

E. Attach a listing of all stakes races and indicate the date to be run and the added money or guaranteed purse for each.

1. Attach a listing of all stakes races for the past two race meetings. The information provided must be for the same timeframe in which the association is applying. Include the date the stakes races were run, and the added money or guaranteed purse for each. Note the races that were designated for California-bred horses.

2. Identify the stakes races listed under item E that have been altered, added, or are new for the current race meeting. Provide details regarding any alterations to the identified stakes races. (e.g., changes in the age, sex, eligibility, purse or substantial calendar changes).

3. Identify the stakes races listed under item E1 that have been dropped or deleted, and the reasons the stakes were dropped or deleted.

F. Will provisions be made for owners and trainers to use their own registered colors?

Yes No If no, what racing colors are to be used:

G. List all post times for the daily racing program:

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Every licensee conducting a horse racing meeting shall each racing day provide for the running of at least one race limited to California-bred horses, to be known as the "California-bred race" pursuant to CHRB Rule 1813.

4. FAIR ASSOCIATION

A. Names of the fair directors:

B. Names of the directors serving on the Racing Committee or otherwise responsible for the conduct of the racing program:

C. Name and title of the fair manager or executive officer and the names and titles of all department managers and fair staff, other than those listed in 12B, who will be listed in the official program:

D. Name and title of the person(s) authorized to receive notices on behalf of the fair association and the mailing and email address of such person(s).

5. TAKE OUT PERCENTAGE

1. Will the percentage deducted for any type of wager be adjusted pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19601.01? If no, proceed to subsection 6. If Yes, identify the wager and the proposed takeout percentage.

Yes No

Wager(s) to be adjusted: _____ Proposed percentage: ____%

A. Attach copy of written notice requesting the proposed takeout adjustment, the proposed percentage and the wager(s) affected. The notice must include the written agreement of the fair association and the horsemen's organization for the meeting of the fair association accepting the wager.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19601.01 notwithstanding any other provision of law, a thoroughbred association or fair, upon the filing of a written notice with, and approval by, the board specifying the percentage to be deducted, may deduct from the total amount handled in the pari-mutuel pool for any type of wager an amount of not less than 10 percent nor more than 25 percent. The written notice shall include the written agreement of the thoroughbred association or fair and the horsemen's organization for the meeting of the thoroughbred association or fair accepting the wager. The established percentage to be deducted shall remain in effect until the filing of a subsequent notice with, and approval by, the board, unless otherwise specified in the notice.

6. HANDLE HISTORY

1. Complete the table below providing the last five years of handle and attendance for the fair association. If your association has been operating for fewer than five years, provide information for the period of time it has been in operation.

Year	Handle	Attendance

7. PURSE PROGRAM (Excluding supplements, nominations, sponsorships, and starter fees):

A. Purse distribution:

1. All races other than stakes:
 Current meet estimate:
 Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse (7A1 ÷ number of days):
 Current meet estimate:
 Prior meet actual:

- 2. Overnight stakes:
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse (7A2 ÷ number of days):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

- 3. Non-overnight stakes:
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse (7A3 ÷ number of days):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

- 4. Total Purses: (7A1+7A2+ 7A3)
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual

- B. Funds to be generated for all California-bred incentive awards (including breeder awards and owners premiums):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

- C. Payment to each recognized horsemen's organization contracting with the fair:

Current meet estimate:	Prior meet actual:
CTT	
TOC	
NTRA	
PCQHRA	
CWAR	
ARAC	
AMRA	
CHBPAPEN	
CTHF	
Total	Total

- D. Amount from all sources to be distributed at the meeting in the form of purses or other benefits to horsemen (7A+7B+7C):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

Average Daily Purse (7D ÷ number of days):
Current meet estimate:
Prior meet actual:

- E. Purse funds to be generated from on-track handle and intrastate off-track handle (excluding carry-overs from prior race meet(s):
 Current meet estimate:
 Prior meet actual:

 Average Daily Purse ($7E \div$ number of days):
 Current meet estimate:
 Prior meet actual:
- F. Purse funds to be generated from interstate handle:
 Current meet estimate:
 Prior meet actual:

 Average Daily Purse ($7F \div$ number of days):
 Current meet estimate:
 Prior meet actual:
- G. Bank and account number for the Paymaster of Purses' purse account:
- H. Name, address, email and telephone number of the pari-mutuel audit firm engaged for the meeting:

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: All funds generated and retained from on-track pari-mutuel handle which are obligated by law for distribution in the form of purses, breeders' awards or other benefits to horsemen, **shall not** be deemed as income to the fair and **shall**, within 3 calendar days following receipt, be deposited in a segregated and separate liability account in a depository approved by the CHRB and shall be at the disposition of the Paymaster of Purses, who shall pay or distribute such funds to the persons entitled thereto. All funds generated from off-track simulcast wagering, interstate wagering, and out-of-state wagering which are obligated by law for distribution in the form of purses and breeders' awards, shall also be deposited within 3 calendar days following receipt into such liability account. In the event the fair is obligated to the payment of purses prior to those obligated amounts being retained from pari-mutuel wagering for such purpose, or as a result of overpayment of earned purses at the conclusion of the meeting, the fair shall transfer from its own funds such amounts as are necessary for the Paymaster of Purses to distribute to the horse owners statutorily or contractually entitled thereto. The fair is entitled thereafter to recover such transferred funds from the Paymaster of Purses' account; and if insufficient funds remain in the account at the conclusion of the meeting, the fair is entitled to carry forward the deficit to its next succeeding meeting as provided by Business and Professions Code section 19615(c) or (d). In the event of **underpayment** of purses which results in a balance remaining in the Paymaster of Purses' account at the conclusion of the meeting after distribution of amounts due to horsemen and breeders and horsemen's organizations, the fair may carry forward the surplus amount to its next succeeding meeting; provided, however, that the amount so retained does not exceed an amount equivalent to the average daily distribution of purses and breeders' awards during the meeting. All amounts in excess shall be distributed retroactively and proportionally in the form of purses and breeders' awards to the horse owners and breeders having earned purses or awards during the conduct of the meeting.

8. STABLE ACCOMMODATIONS

- A. Number of usable stalls available for racehorses at the track where the meeting is held:
- B. Minimum number of stalls believed necessary for the meeting:
- C. Total number of usable stalls to be made available off-site at approved auxiliary stabling areas or approved training centers:
- D. Name and location of each off-site auxiliary stabling area and the number of stalls to be maintained at each site:

- E. Attach each contract or agreement between the fair and the person(s) furnishing off-site stabling accommodations for eligible racehorses that cannot be provided stabling on-site.

Complete subsections F through H if the fair will request reimbursement for off-site stabling as provided by Business and Professions Code sections 19607, 19607.1, 19607.2, and 19607.3; otherwise, proceed to section 9.

- F. Total number of usable stalls made available on-site for the **1986** meeting, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19535(c).
- G. Estimated cost to provide off-site stalls for this meeting. Show cost per-day per stall:
- H. Estimated cost to provide vanning from off-site stalls for this meeting. Show fees to be paid for vanning per-horse:

9. EQUINE EMERGENCY SERVICES

- A. Name and emergency telephone number of the racing veterinarian onsite during training hours, workouts and during racing for the association and auxiliary sites:
 - 1. Attach a schedule listing the dates and times that the racing veterinarian will be available onsite during training hours, workouts and during racing for the association and auxiliary sites.

10 9. PARI-MUTUEL WAGERING PROGRAM

- A. Is the fair applicant a member of the California Authority of Racing Fairs (CARF)? If yes, attach a copy of the CARF recommended wagering format. Yes No
- B. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19599, and with the approval of the CHRB, fairs may elect to offer wagering programs using CHRB Pari-mutuel Rules, the Association of Racing Commissioners International (RCI) Uniform Rules of Racing, Chapter 9, Pari-mutuel Wagering, or a combination of both. Please complete the following schedule for the types of wagering other than WPS and the minimum wager amount for each. If applicant is a member of CARF, also indicate if wager is a part of the CARF recommended wagering format:

Use DD for daily double, E for exacta (special quinella), PK3 for pick three, PK4 for select four, PNP for pick (n) pool, PPN for place pick (n), Q for quinella, SF for superfecta, TRI for trifecta, and US for unlimited sweepstakes (pick 9).

	TYPE OF WAGERS	APPLICABLE RULES	CARF WAGERING FORMAT	
			Yes	No
Example Race	\$1 E; \$1 Double	CHRB #1959; RCI #VE		
Race #1				
Race #2				
Race #3				
Race #4				
Race #5				

- Race #6
- Race #7
- Race #8
- Race #9
- Race #10
- Race #11
- Race #12
- Race #13

C. Identify any changes from the previous year for the pari-mutuel wagering program noted in 10A.

D-E Maximum carryover pool to be allowed to accumulate before its distribution OR the date(s) designated for distribution of the carryover pool:

E-D List any options requested with regard to exotic wagering:

F-E Will "advance" or "early bird" wagering be offered? Yes No

If yes, when will such wagering begin. Specify days and time for "early bird" wagering:

G-F Type(s) of pari-mutuel or totalizator equipment to be used by the fair and the simulcast organization, the name of the person(s) supplying equipment, and expiration date of the service contract:

H. List below the takeout percentage for each type of wager identified in 10A and publish the takeout rate percentage for each type of wager in the associations daily racing program:

TAKEOUT PERCENTAGE

(Example) PNP5-14%

- Race #1
- Race #2
- Race #3
- Race #4
- Race #5
- Race #6
- Race #7
- Race #8
- Race #9
- Race #10
- Race #11
- Race #12
- Race #13

11 10. ADVANCE DEPOIST WAGERING (ADW)

A. Identify the ADW provider(s) to be used by the fair for this race meeting:

B. Attach a copy of the agreement/contracts with each ADW provider to be used for this race meeting.

C. Have the contract/agreements been approved by the respective horsemen's groups?

Yes No

If yes, attach a copy of the approval.

If no, explain the status of the approval.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19604, ADW providers may accept wagers on races conducted in California from a resident of California if: 1) the ADW provider is licensed by the Board; 2) a written agreement allowing those wagers exists with the racing association or fair conducting the races on which the wagers are made; 3) the agreement shall have been approved in writing by the horsemen's organization responsible for negotiating purse agreements for the breed on which the wagers are made. ADW providers may accept wagers on races conducted outside of California from a resident of California if: 1) the ADW provider is licensed by the Board; 2) there is a hub agreement between the ADW provider and one or both of (i) one or more racing associations or fairs that together conduct no fewer than five weeks of live racing on the breed on which wagering is conducted during the calendar year during which the wagers are placed and (ii) the horsemen's organization responsible for negotiating purse agreements for the breed on which wagering is conducted.

12 11. SIMULCAST WAGERING PROGRAM

- A. Simulcast organization engaged by the fair to conduct simulcast wagering:
- B. Attach the agreement between the fair and simulcast organization permitting the organization to use the fair's live audiovisual signal for wagering purposes and providing access to its totalizator for the purpose of combining on-track and off-track pari-mutuel pools.
- C. California simulcast facilities the fair proposes to offer its live audiovisual signal:
- D. Out-of-state wagering systems the fair proposes to offer its live audiovisual signal:
- E. Out-of-state wagering systems that will combine their pari-mutuel pools with those of the fair:
- F. California mini-simulcast facilities the fair proposes to offer its live audiovisual signal:
- G. List the host tracks from which the fair proposes to import out-of-state and/or out-of-country thoroughbred races. Include the dates imported races will be held and whether or not a full card will be accepted. If the full card will not be imported, state "selected feature and/or stakes races":

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Business and Professions Code section 19596.2(a) stipulates that on days when live thoroughbred or fair racing is being conducted in the state, the number of thoroughbred races which may be imported by an association or fair during the calendar period the association or fair is conducting its racing meeting cannot exceed a combined daily total of 50 imported thoroughbred races statewide. The limitation of 50 imported thoroughbred races per day statewide does not apply to those races specified in Business and Professions Code section 19596.2(a)(1), (2), (3) and (4).

THOROUGHBRED SIMULCAST RACES TO BE IMPORTED

Name of Host Track	Race Dates	Full Card or Selected Feature and/or Stakes Races
--------------------	------------	---

- H. List imported simulcast races the fair plans to receive during the racing meeting which use breeds other than the breed of the majority of horses racing at its live horse racing meeting. Include the name of the host track, the dates imported races will be held, and how many races will be imported:

OTHER BREED SIMULCAST RACES TO BE IMPORTED

Name of Host Track	Breed of Horse	Race Dates	Number of Races to be Imported
--------------------	----------------	------------	--------------------------------

- I. If any out-of-state or out-of-country races will commence outside of the time constraints set forth in Business and Professions Code sections 19596.2 and 19596.3, attach a copy showing agreement by the appropriate racing association(s).

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: All interstate wagering to be conducted by a fair is subject to the provisions of Title 15, United States Codes, which require specific **written** approval of the CHRB and of the racing commission having jurisdiction in the out-of-state venue. All international wagering to be conducted by a fair is subject to the provisions of Business and Professions Code sections 19596, 19596.1, 19596.2, 19596.3, 19601, 19602, and 19616.1, and will require specific written approval of the CHRB.

Every fair shall pay to the simulcast organization within 3 calendar days following the closing of wagering for each racing program, or upon receipt of the proceeds, such amounts that are retained from off-track simulcast wagering, interstate and out-of-state wagering and which are obligated by statute for guest commissions, simulcast operator's expenses and promotions, equine research, local government in-lieu taxes, and stabling and vanning deductions. Every fair shall pay to its Paymaster of Purses' account within 3 calendar days following the closing of wagering for each racing program, or upon receipt of the proceeds, such amounts that are retained or obligated from off-track simulcast wagering, interstate and out-of-state wagering for purses, breeders' awards or other benefits to horsemen. (See Notice to Applicant, Section 7.)

13 12. RACING OFFICIALS, OFFICIALS, AND OFFICIATING EQUIPMENT

- A. Racing officials nominated:
- Association Veterinarian(s)
 - Clerk of Scales
 - Clerk of the Course
 - Film Specialist
 - Horse Identifier
 - Horseshoe Inspector
 - Paddock Judge
 - Patrol Judges
 - Placing Judges
 - Starter
 - Timer
- B. Management officials in the racing department:
- Director of Racing
 - Racing Secretary
 - Assistant Racing Secretary
 - Paymaster of Purses
 - Others (identify by name and title)
- C. Name, address, email and telephone number of the reporter employed to record and prepare transcripts of hearings conducted by the stewards:
- D. Photographic device to be used for photographing the finish of all races, name of the person supplying the service, and expiration date of the service contract:

- E. Photopatrol video equipment to be used to record all races, name of the person supplying the service, and expiration date of the service contract. Specify the number and location of cameras for dirt and turf tracks.
- F. Type of electronic timing device to be used for the timing of all races, name of the person supplying the service, and expiration date of the service contract:

14 13. SECURITY CONTROLS

- A. Name and title of the person responsible for security controls on the premises. Include an organizational chart of the security department and a list of the names of security personnel and contact telephone numbers.
- B. Estimated number of security guards, gatemen, patrolmen or others to be engaged in security tasks on a regular full-time basis:
 - 1. Attach a written plan for enhanced security for graded stakes races, and races of \$100,000 or more, to include the number of security guards in the restricted areas during a 24-hour period and a plan for detention stalls.
 - 2. Detention Stalls:
 - A. Attach a plan for use of graded stakes or overnight races.
 - B. Number of security guards in the detention stall area during a 24-hour period.
 - C. Describe number and location of surveillance cameras in detention stall area.
 - 3. TCO2 Testing:
 - A. Number of races to be tested, and number of horses entered in each race to be tested.
 - B. Plan for enhanced surveillance for trainers with high-test results.
 - C. Plan for detention stalls for repeat offenders.
 - D. Number of security personnel assigned to the TCO2 program.
 - C. Describe the electronic security system.
 - 1. Location and number of video surveillance cameras for the detention stall and stable gate.

15 14. EMERGENCY SERVICES

- A. Name, address and emergency telephone number of the ambulance service to be used during workouts and during racing the running of the races:

1. Attach a certification from the Ambulance Company(s) listed in 15 14A, certifying that the paramedic staff are licensed with the California Emergency Medical Services Authority.
- B. Name, address and emergency telephone number of the ambulance service to be used during workouts at auxiliary sites:
1. Attach a certification from the Ambulance Company(s) listed in 15 14B, certifying that the paramedic staff are licensed with the California Emergency Medical Services Authority.
- C. Describe the on-track first aid facility, including equipment and medical staffing:
- D. Name and emergency telephone number of the licensed physician on duty during the race meeting:
- E. Name, address and emergency telephone number of the hospital to be used for admittance and treatment of emergency injuries in the event of an on-track injury to a jockey:
- F. Attach, in English and Spanish, the emergency medical plan procedures that will be posted in each jockey's room to be used in the event of an on-track injury to a jockey:
- G. Name of health and safety manager and assistant manager responsible for compliance of health and safety provisions pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19481.3(d):
- H. Attach a fire clearance from the fire authority having jurisdiction over the premises.
- I. Name of the workers' compensation insurance carrier for the fair and the number of the insurance policy (if self-insured, provide details):
- J. Attach a Certificate of Insurance for workers' compensation coverage. The CHRB is to be named as a certificate holder and given not less than 10 days' notice of any cancellation or termination of insurance that secures the liability of the fair for payment of workers' compensation.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Every licensee conducting a horse racing meeting shall pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19481.3 maintain, staff, and supply an on-track first aid facility, that may be either permanent or mobile, and which shall be staffed and equipped as directed by the board. A qualified and licensed physician shall be on duty at all times during live racing, except that this provision shall not apply to any quarter horse racing at the racetrack if there is a hospital situated no more than 1.5 miles from the racetrack and the racetrack has an agreement with the hospital to provide emergency medical services to jockeys and riders. An ambulance licensed to operate on public highways provided by the track shall be available at all times during live racing and shall be staffed by two emergency medical technicians licensed in accordance with Division 2.5 (commencing with Section 1797) of the Health and Safety Code, one of whom may be an Emergency Medical Technician Paramedic, as defined in Section 1797.84 of the Health and Safety Code. (b) Each racing association and racing fair shall adopt and maintain an emergency medical plan detailing the procedures that shall be used in the event of an on-track injury. The plan shall be posted in each jockey room in English and Spanish. (c) Prior to every race meeting, the racing association or racing fair shall contact area hospitals to coordinate procedures for the rapid admittance and treatment of emergency injuries. (d) Each racing association or racing fair shall designate a health and safety manager and assistant manager, who shall be responsible for compliance with the provisions of this section and one of whom shall be on duty at all times when live racing is conducted. The health and safety manager may, at the discretion of the racing association, be the person designated to perform risk management duties on behalf of the association.

16 15. CONCESSIONAIRES AND SERVICE CONTRACTORS

- A. Names and addresses of all persons to whom a concession or service contract has been given, **other than those already identified**, and the goods and/or services to be provided by each:

B. Does the fair plan to provide its own concessions? Yes No

17 16. ON- TRACK ATTENDANCE/FAN DEVELOPMENT

- A. Attach a copy of the promotional and marketing plans for the race meeting:
- B. Promotional/ Marketing budget for this race meeting:

Promotional/Marketing budget for prior race meeting:
- C. Number of hosts and hostesses employed for meeting:
- D. Describe facilities set aside for new fans:
- E. Describe any improvements to the physical facility in advance of the meeting that directly benefits:
 - 1. Horsemen
 - 2. Fans
 - 3. Facilities in the restricted areas

18 17. SCHEDULE OF CHARGES

- A. Proposed charges, note any changes from previous year:
 - Admission (general)
 - Admission (clubhouse)
 - Reserved seating (general)
 - Reserved seating (clubhouse)
 - Parking (general)
 - Parking (preferred)
 - Parking (valet)
 - Programs (on-track)
 - (off-track)
- B. Describe any "Season Boxes" or other special accommodation fees:
- C. Describe any "package" plans such as combined parking, admission and program:

19 18. JOCKEYS' QUARTERS

- A. Check the applicable amenities available in the jockeys' quarters:

<input type="checkbox"/> Corners (lockers and cubicles)	How many	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Showers	<input type="checkbox"/> Steam room, sauna or steam cabinets	<input type="checkbox"/> Lounge area
<input type="checkbox"/> Masseur	<input type="checkbox"/> Food/beverage service	<input type="checkbox"/> Certified platform scale
- B. Describe the quarters to be used for female jockeys:

20 19. BACKSTRETCH EMPLOYEE HOUSING

- A. Inspection of backstretch housing was completed by (name) _____ on (date) _____.
- B. Number of rooms used for housing on the backstretch of the racetrack:
- C. Number of restrooms available on the backstretch of the racetrack:
- D. Estimated ratio of restrooms to the number of backstretch personnel:

21 20. TRACK SAFETY

- A. Total distance of the racecourse - measured from the finish line counterclockwise (3' from the inner railing) back to the finish line: feet.
- B. Describe the type of track surface at the facility, including the specific track surface composition:
- C. The percent of cross slope in the straight-aways is:
The percent of cross slope in the center of the turns is:
- D. Describe the type(s) of materials used for the inner and outer railings of the race course, the type of inner railing supports (i.e., metal gooseneck, wood 4" x 4" uprights, offset wood 4" x 4" supports, etc.), the coverings, if any, on the top of the inner railing, and the approximate height of the top of the inner railing from the level of the race course.
- E. Name of the person responsible for supervision of the maintenance of the racetrack safety standards pursuant to CHRB Rule 1474:
- F. Attach a Track Safety Maintenance Program pursuant to CHRB Rule 1474.
- G. If the fair is requesting approval to implement alternate methodologies to the provisions of Article 3.5, Track Safety Standards, pursuant to CHRB Rule 1471, attach a Certificate of Insurance for liability insurance which will be in force for the duration of the meeting specified in Section 2. The CHRB is to be named as a certificate holder and given not less than 10 days' notice of any cancellation or termination of liability insurance. Additionally, the CHRB must be listed as additionally insured on the liability policy at a minimum amount of \$3 million per incident. The liability insurance certificate must be on file in the CHRB headquarters office prior to the conduct of any racing.

22 21. DECLARATIONS

- A. All labor agreements, concession and service contracts, and other agreements necessary to conduct the entire meeting have been finalized except as follows (if no exceptions, so state):
- B. Attach each horsemen's agreement pursuant to CHRB Rule 2044.
- C. All service contractors and concessionaires have valid state, county or city licenses authorizing each to engage in the type of service to be provided and have valid labor agreements, when applicable, which remain in effect for the entire term of the meeting except as follows (if no exceptions, so state):

- D. Absent natural disasters or causes beyond the control of the fair, its service contractors, concessionaires or horsemen participating at the meeting, no reasons are believed to exist that may result in a stoppage to racing at the meeting or the withholding of any vital service to the fair except as follows (if no exceptions, so state):

NOTICE TO APPLICANT: Pursuant to CHRB Rules 1870 and 1871, the CHRB shall be given 15 days' notice in writing of any intention to terminate a horse racing meeting or the engagements or services of any licensee, approved concessionaire, or approved service contractor.

23 22. CERTIFICATION BY APPLICANT

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that I have examined this application, that all of the foregoing statements in this application are true and correct, and that I am authorized by the fair to attest to this application on its behalf.

Print Name

Signature

Print Title

Date

latimes.com

Del Mar plans to switch to dirt surface in 2015

By Eric Sondheimer

11:51 AM PST, February 19, 2014

So much for the grand experiment of having synthetic surfaces for horse racing in Southern California.

The last holdout, Del Mar, plans to switch to a dirt surface in 2015, track president Joe Harper said Wednesday.

After that, the only remaining synthetic track in the state will be Northern California's Golden Gate Fields.

Santa Anita switched back to dirt in 2010. Hollywood Park has closed, and Los Alamitos and Fairplex already have dirt surfaces.

In 2006, the California Horse Racing Board mandated that all the main thoroughbred tracks install a synthetic surface by the end of 2007 in an attempt to improve safety. More than \$40 million was spent on the effort.

But horsemen complained about the synthetic surfaces, and maintenance problems arose.

"Let's just call it a grand experiment," said Dr. Rick Arthur, equine medical director for the horse racing board. "I don't think it was worth \$40 million, but what positive has come from this is we understand more about racing surfaces today than ever before. I still consider synthetics promising. They were a novel technology and weren't ready for the heavy use we get in U.S. racing surfaces."

Harper said Del Mar will begin looking for the right dirt materials and start the permit process toward gaining a dirt track by 2015.

"We do like synthetic and still like synthetic, but one of the main reasons we put it in was because all the tracks were putting it in," Harper said.

STAFF ANALYSIS

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD REGARDING THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO CHRB RULE 1845, AUTHORIZED BLEEDER MEDICATION, TO 1) REQUIRE THAT AUTHORIZED BLEEDER MEDICATION BE ADMINISTERED BY INDEPENDENT, THIRD PARTY VETERINARIANS; 2) INCLUDE A PRESCRIPTION FOR FUROSEMIDE (LASIX) AS PART OF THE CHRB FORM -194 AUTHORIZED BLEEDER MEDICATION REQUEST AND PRESCRIPTION.

Regular Board Meeting
March 21, 2014

PROBLEM

At its October 2012 Regular Meeting the Board approved amendments to CHRB Rule 1845, Authorized Bleeder Medication, to require that authorized bleeder medication be administered by independent, third party veterinarians. During the 45-day public comment period objections were raised by private veterinarians and the California Veterinary Medical Association. Those in opposition to the proposed amendment stated it violated the veterinary medical practice act by interfering with the client-veterinarian-patient relationship required for prescription medications since furosemide is a federal prescription medication. The proposed amendment was subsequently postponed pending a resolution to the objections.

ANALYSIS

The current proposed amendment to Rule 1845 is intended to resolve previous objections to the October 2012 version of the rule by including a prescription for furosemide as part of the form CHRB-194 Authorized Bleeder Medication Request. In the past, a CHRB licensed attending veterinarian's signature was required to place a horse on the authorized bleeder medication list, but that requirement was removed. Currently, either the trainer or veterinarian is allowed to sign the CHRB-194 Authorized Bleeder Medication Request. The proposed change in the form CHRB-194 Authorized Bleeder Medication Request will change the name of the form to CHRB-194 Authorized Bleeder Medication Request and Prescription, and will require the CHRB licensed attending veterinarian's signature acknowledging CHRB-194 constitutes a lawful prescription for furosemide consistent with CHRB Rule 1845. In addition, a new subsection 1845(c) has been added to provide that every licensed horse owner that owns a horse qualified for administration of authorized bleeder medications consents to the procedures described in the regulation and waives the veterinary-client-patient relationship with the individual administering the furosemide.

BACKGROUND

Business and Professions Code section 19440 states the Board has all powers necessary and proper to enable it to carry out fully and effectually the purposes of this chapter. Responsibilities of the Board include adopting rules and regulations for the protection of the public and the control of horse racing and pari-mutuel wagering. Business and Professions Code section 19562

provides that the Board may prescribe rules, regulations, and conditions under which all horse races with wagering on their results shall be conducted in California. Business and Professions Code section 19580 requires the Board to adopt regulations to establish policies, guidelines, and penalties relating to equine medication to preserve and enhance the integrity of horse racing in California. Business and Professions Code section 19581 states that no substance of any kind shall be administered by any means to a horse after it has been entered to race, unless the Board has, by regulation, specifically authorized the use of the substance and the quantity and composition thereof.

Board Rule 1845, Authorized Bleeder Medication, provides that authorized bleeder medication for the control of exercised induced pulmonary hemorrhage (EIPH) may be administered to a horse on the authorized bleeder medication list. A horse is eligible to race with authorized bleeder medication if the licensed trainer and/or veterinarian determine it is in the horse's best interest. If a horse will race with authorized bleeder medication, the official veterinarian must be informed prior to entry, as specified.

RECOMMENDATION

This item is presented for Board discussion and action.

CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
TITLE 4. CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS
ARTICLE 15. VETERINARY PRACTICES
RULE 1845. AUTHORIZED BLEEDER
MEDICATION

Regular Board Meeting
March 21, 2014

1845. Authorized Bleeder Medication.

Authorized bleeder medication for the control of exercised induced pulmonary hemorrhage (EIPH) may be administered to a horse on the authorized bleeder medication list.

(a) A horse is eligible to race with authorized bleeder medication if the licensed trainer and veterinarian determines it is in the horse's best interest. If a horse will race with authorized bleeder medication, form CHRB 194 (Rev. 05/09 03/14), Authorized Bleeder Medication Request and Prescription, which is hereby incorporated by reference, shall constitute a prescription for the administration of furosemide and shall be used to notify the official veterinarian prior to entry.

(b) The official laboratory shall measure the specific gravity of post-race urine samples to ensure samples are sufficiently concentrated for proper chemical analysis. The specific gravity of such samples shall not be below 1.010.

(c) If the specific gravity of the post-race urine sample is determined to be below 1.010, or if a urine sample is not available for testing, quantitation of furosemide in serum or plasma shall then be performed. Concentrations may not exceed 100 nanograms of furosemide per milliliter of serum or plasma.

(d) A horse qualified to race with authorized bleeder medication shall be assigned to a pre-race security stall prior to the scheduled post time for the race in which it is entered, and

shall remain there until it is taken to the receiving barn or the paddock to be saddled or harnessed for the race. While in the security stall, the horse shall be in the care, custody, control and constant view of the trainer, or a licensed person assigned by the trainer. The trainer shall be responsible for the condition, care and handling of the horse while it remains in the security stall. The official veterinarian may permit a horse to leave the security stall to engage in track warm-up heats prior to a race.

(e) A horse qualified for administration of authorized bleeder medication must be treated on the grounds of the racetrack where the horse will race no later than four hours prior to post time of the race for which the horse is entered.

(1) The only authorized bleeder medication, furosemide, shall be furosemide administered by a single intravenous injection only, in a dosage of not less than 150 mg. or not more than 500 mg.

(2) Furosemide shall be administered by the official veterinarian, the racing veterinarian or a veterinarian designated by the official veterinarian. Registered veterinary technicians under the supervision of the official veterinarian, racing veterinarian or a designee of the official veterinarian may administer authorized bleeder medication.

(a) Any veterinarian or registered veterinary technician designated to administer authorized bleeder medication shall not practice as a private veterinarian or registered veterinary technician at the race track or with participating licensees during the period they are designated to administer authorized bleeder medication.

(b) The licensed owners of horses administered furosemide in the manner prescribed in this section shall pay the costs associated with such administration.

(c) Every licensed horse owner that owns a horse qualified for administration of authorized bleeder medication consents to the procedures described in subparagraph (e) hereof and waives establishment of a veterinary-client-patient relationship with the individual administering furosemide.

(3) A horse racing with furosemide must show a detectable concentration of the drug in the post-race serum, plasma or urine sample.

(4) The veterinarian administering the bleeder medication shall notify the official veterinarian of the treatment of the horse. Such Notification shall be made using CHRB form-36 (New 08/04), Bleeder Treatment Report, which is hereby incorporated by reference, not later than two hours prior to post time of the race for which the horse is entered.

(5) Upon the request of a Board representative, the veterinarian administering the authorized bleeder medication shall surrender the syringe used to administer such medication, which may then be submitted for testing.

(f) A horse placed on the official authorized bleeder medication list must remain on the list unless the licensed trainer and/or veterinarian requests that the horse be removed. The request must be made using CHRB form 194, and must be submitted to the official veterinarian prior to the time of entry. A horse removed from the authorized bleeder medication list may not be placed back on the list for a period of 60 calendar days unless the official veterinarian determines it is detrimental to the welfare of the horse. If a horse is removed from the authorized bleeder medication list a second time in a 365-day period, the horse may not be placed back on the list for a period of 90 calendar days.

(g) If the official veterinarian observes a horse bleeding externally from one or both nostrils during or after a race or workout, and determines such bleeding is a direct result of EIPH, the horse shall be ineligible to race for the following periods:

- First incident—14 days;
- Second incident within 365-day period—30 days;
- Third incident within 365-day period—180 days;
- Fourth incident within 365-day period—barred for racing lifetime.

For the purposes of counting the number of days a horse is ineligible to run, the day after the horse bled externally is the first day of such period. The voluntary administration of authorized bleeder medication without an external bleeding incident shall not subject a horse to the initial period of ineligibility as defined under this subsection.

Authority: Sections 19440 and 19562,
Business and Professions Code.

Reference: Sections 19580 and 19581,
Business and Professions Code.

AUTHORIZED BLEEDER MEDICATION REQUEST AND PRESCRIPTION

CHRB – 194 (Rev. 05/09 03/14)

HORSES NAME: _____

TATTOO NUMBER: _____ BREED: _____ DATE: _____

TRAINERS NAME: _____

() Request that the horse listed above be **placed** on the Authorized Bleeder Medication List and be treated pursuant to California Horse Racing Board Rules and Regulations.
Article 15. Section 1845.

() Request that the horse listed above be **removed** from the Authorized Bleeder Medication List pursuant to California Horse Racing Board Rules and Regulations.
Article 15. Section 1845.

VETERINARIAN SIGNATURE: _____

The signature of the attending veterinarian is required and acknowledges this request constitutes a lawful prescription for the use of furosemide on race day consistent with CHRB Rule 1845, Authorized Bleeder Medication.

TRAINERS SIGNATURE: _____

APPROVED BY: _____ DATE RECEIVED: _____

CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD

MARCH 21, 2014
REGULAR BOARD MEETING

There is no board package material for Item 9